

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

Department of Economics

January 2021

Written Portion of the Candidacy Examination for

the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

MICROECONOMIC THEORY

**Instructions:** This examination contains two sections, each of which contains three questions. You must answer **two** questions from each section (precise instructions are below)—100 points in each section—for a total of 200 points. You will not receive additional credit, and may receive **less credit**, if you answer more than four questions.

There are five (5) pages, including this one.

- I.3 (50 points) Consider an economy  $\mathcal{E} = (U_i, \mathbf{w}_i)_{i=1}^{i=n}$  in which there  $S$  states of nature at date 1 and only one physical good. The consumers' utility functions are of the expected utility form; that is,

$$U_i(\mathbf{x}_i) = \sum_{s=1}^S \pi_s v_i(x_{is})$$

where  $x_{is}$  denotes  $i$ 's consumption of the good in state  $s$  and  $\pi_s$  is the probability of state  $s$  (for all  $s$ ,  $\pi_s > 0$  and  $\sum_s \pi_s = 1$ ). Note all consumers agree on the probabilities of the different states. Further, assume that all  $v_i$  are increasing, strictly concave and satisfy  $v_i(0) = 0$ . Suppose that there is no aggregate uncertainty. In other words, for any two states  $s$  and  $s'$ , the total endowment of the economy in state  $s$ ,  $\sum_i w_{is} = \sum_i w_{is'}$ , the total endowment in state  $s'$ .

Let  $(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{x}^*)$  be a Walrasian equilibrium (with complete markets at date 0) for  $\mathcal{E}$  such that the price of the good in each state  $p_s^* > 0$  and  $\sum_s p_s^* = 1$ . Moreover, each  $x_{is}^* > 0$ .

- (a) Show that in such an equilibrium every consumer insures completely—that is, he or she consumes the same amount of the good in every state.
- (b) Show that the price in state  $s$ ,  $p_s^* = \pi_s$ , the probability of state  $s$ .

II.2 Two players are about to play either a first-price auction or an all-pay auction. In both auctions the highest bidder wins, and in case of a tie between the players, each player wins with probability  $1/2$ . Player 1's known value is  $v > 0$  and player 2's privately-known value is  $v$  with probability  $p$  and  $V$  with probability  $1 - p$ , where  $V > v$ .

Suppose that  $p = 1$ .

- (a) (5 points) Solve for an equilibrium of the all-pay auction.
- (b) (5 points) Solve for an equilibrium of the first-price auction.  
Suppose that  $p = 0$ .
- (c) (5 points) Solve for an equilibrium of the all-pay auction.
- (d) (5 points) Solve for an equilibrium of the first-price auction.  
Suppose that  $p \in (0, 1)$ .
- (e) (15 points) Solve for an equilibrium of the all-pay auction.
- (f) (15 points) Show that the first-price auction does not have an equilibrium.

or

II.3 There are two partners in a firm. Each partner  $i$  chooses an effort  $x_i \in [0, 4]$  and incurs a disutility of  $x_i^2/2$  by doing so. The partners make their choices simultaneously and independently. This leads to firm revenue

$$4(x_1 + x_2 + cx_1x_2),$$

where the known parameter  $c \in [-2, 2]$  measures the degree of complementarity between the partners' efforts. The partners split the revenue equally, and each partner's utility is his share of the revenue minus his disutility from his effort.

- (a) (20 points) For all possible values of  $c$ , solve for the Nash equilibria.
- (b) (30 points) For all possible values of  $c$ , solve for the rationalizable strategies (recall that a strategy is undominated if and only if it is not a best response to any belief).