## 5 Internet Appendix

### 5.1 Internet Appendix Tables

Table A1: Estimated Bounds on Average Effects on Health Care Utilization with a Valid IV

|  | Prescription Drugs |  | Outpatient Visits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Any | Number | Any | Number |
| Bounds on ATE |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 1 | -. 348 . 362 | -3.854 13.20 | -. 288 . 423 | -4.278 17.04 |
| Bounded Outcome (A5) | (-.362, .374) | $(-4.087,13.97)$ | (-.300, .433) | $(-4.474,17.30)$ |
| Proposition 2 | . 028 . 362 | . 11313.20 | . 062 . 423 | . $316 \quad 17.04$ |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (.014, .374) | (.027, 13.97) | (.050, .433) | (.226, 17.30) |
| Proposition 3c' | -. 348 . 110 | -3.854 . 619 | -. 288 . 187 | -4.278 1.049 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c') | (-.362, .133) | (-4.088, .780) | (-.300, .208) | $(-4.474,1.227)$ |
| Proposition 6' | . 028.110 | . 113.619 | . 062 . 187 | . $316 \quad 1.049$ |
| A5 \& A6 \& $47{ }^{\prime}$ | (.012, .136) | (.017, .799) | (.049, .210) | (.217, 1.245) |
| Bounds on ATT |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 1 | -. 058 . 424 | -9.826 1.749 | . 012.495 | -12.27 2.200 |
| Bounded Outcome (A5) | (-.085, .452) | $(-10.59,1.911)$ | (-.011, .520) | $(-12.82,2.364)$ |
| Proposition 2 | . 050 . 424 | . 2021.749 | . 112.495 | . $564 \quad 2.200$ |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (.025, .452) | (.044, 1.915) | (.089, .520) | (.398, 2.370) |
| Proposition 3c' | -. 058 . 133 | -9.826 . 651 | . 012.258 | -12.27 1.482 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c') | (-.087, .182) | (-10.59, .910) | (-.013, .300) | $(-12.82,1.741)$ |
| Proposition 6' | . 050 . 133 | . 202 . 651 | . 112.258 | . $564 \quad 1.482$ |
| A5 \& A6 \& ${ }^{\text {7 }}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | (.021, .184) | (.027, .945) | (.086, .301) | (.385, 1.770) |
| Bounds on LATE $n t$ |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 2 | $0 \quad .397$ | $0 \quad 21.97$ | 0 . 440 | $0 \quad 28.26$ |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (0, .410) | (0, 23.25) | (0, .452) | (0, 28.33) |
| Proposition 3' | -. 602.101 | -2.027 . 653 | -. 560 . 146 | -1.744 . 830 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c') | (-.615, .126) | (-2.099, .837) | (-.572, .170) | $(-1.824,1.052)$ |
| Proposition 6' | $0 \quad .101$ | 0 . 653 | $0 \quad .146$ | 0 . 830 |
| A5\& A6 \& $77{ }^{\prime}$ | (0, .129) | (0, .855) | (0, .171) | (0, 1.070) |
| Bounds on LATE ${ }_{\text {at }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 2 | $0 \quad .775$ | $0 \quad 3.208$ | $0 \quad .794$ | $0 \quad 3.392$ |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (0, .797) | (0, 3.376) | (0, .815) | (0, 3.608) |
| Proposition 3' | -. 225 . 171 | -20.79 . 931 | -. 205 . 303 | -.26.61 1.904 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c') | (-.249, .222) | (-22.06, 1.225) | (-.227, .346) | (-26.82, 2.225) |
| Proposition 6' | $0 \quad .171$ | 0 . 931 | $0 \quad .303$ | $0 \quad 1.904$ |
| A5 \& A6 \& A7c ${ }^{\prime}$ | (0, .225) | (0, 1.259) | (0, .348) | (0, 2.250) |

Table A2: Estimated Bounds on Average Effects on Preventive Care with a Valid IV

|  | Blood Cholesterol Checked | Blood Tested for High Blood Sugar/Diabetes | Mammogram (Women $\geq 40$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pap } \\ & \text { (Women) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bounds on ATE |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 1 | -. 380 . 331 | -. 360 . 350 | -. 176 . 528 | -. 234 . 485 |
| Bounded Outcome (A5) | (-.392, .342) | (-.372, .361) | (-.194, .546) | (-.249, .499) |
| Proposition 2 | . 032 . 331 | . 025 . 350 | . 053 . 528 | . 051 . 485 |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (.020, .342) | (.013, .361) | (.033, .546) | (.035, .499) |
| Proposition 3c' | -. 380 . 085 | -. 360 . 078 | -. 176 . 151 | -. 234 . 154 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c') | (-.392, .107) | (-.372, .099) | (-.195, .188) | (-.249, .184) |
| Proposition 6' | . 032 . 085 | . 025 . 078 | . 053 . 151 | . 051 . 154 |
| A5 \& A6 \& A7c' | (.018, .110) | (.011, .102) | (.030, .191) | (.033, .186) |
| Bounds on ATT |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 1 | -. 118 . 365 | -. 102 . 380 | -. 111 . 331 | -. 129 . 404 |
| Bounded Outcome (A5) | (-.142, .391) | (-.126, .405) | (-.154, .371) | (-.159, .431) |
| Proposition 2 | . 058 . 365 | . 045 . 380 | . 100 . 331 | . 085 . 404 |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (.035, .391) | (.022, .405) | (.059, .372) | (.058, .431) |
| Proposition 3c' | -. 118 . 073 | -. 102 . 102 | -. 111 . 230 | -. 129 . 236 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c') | (-.144, .118) | (-.128, .144) | (-.156, .295) | (-.160, .286) |
| Proposition 6' | . 058 . 073 | . 045 . 102 | . 100 . 230 | . 085 . 236 |
| A5 \& A6 \& A7c' | (.031, .121) | (.019, .147) | (.056, .299) | (.055, .289) |
| Bounds on LATE ${ }_{n t}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 2 | $0 \quad .369$ | 0 . 402 | $0 \quad .706$ | 0 . 605 |
| Monotonicity (A6) | ( $0, .381$ ) | (0, .414) | (0, .724) | (0, .620) |
| Proposition 3c' | -. 631 . 085 | -. 598 . 064 | -. 294 . 109 | -. 395 . 103 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c') | (-.642, .109) | (-.609, .088) | (-.313, .153) | (-.410, .137) |
| Proposition 6 | 0 . 085 | 0 . 064 | 0 . 109 | 0 . 103 |
| A5 \& A6 \& ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\prime}$ ' | (0, .111) | (0, .091) | (0, .156) | (0, .141) |
| Bounds on LATE ${ }_{\text {at }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 2 | 0 . 636 | $0 \quad .695$ | 0 . 523 | 0 . 599 |
| Monotonicity (A5) | (0, .661) | (0, .718) | (0, .569) | (0, .628) |
| Proposition 3 | -. 364 . 032 | -. 305 . 119 | -. 477 . 296 | -. 401 . 283 |
| Mean Dominance (A6) | (-.390, .081) | (-.329, .164) | (-.523, .370) | (-.431, .337) |
| Proposition 4 | 0 . 032 | 0 . 119 | 0 . 296 | 0 . 283 |
| A4 \& A5 \& 66 | (0, .086) | (0, .168) | (0, .375) | (0, .341) |

Table A3: Estimated Bounds on Average Effects on Self-Reported Health (Binary) with a Valid IV

|  | Not Fair or Poor | Not Poor | Same or Gotten Better | Not <br> Positive <br> Depress | Screen for ion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bounds on ATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 1 | -. 370 . 340 | -. 511 . 200 | -. 443 . 267 | -. 444 | . 266 |
| Bounded Outcome (A5) | (-.383, .351) | (-.521, .209) | (-.455, .278) |  | (-.456, .277) |
| Proposition 2 | . 038 . 340 | . 029 . 200 | . 032 . 267 | . 024 | . 266 |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (.025, .351) | (.020, .209) | (.021, .278) |  | (.012, .277) |
| Proposition 3c | . 050 . 340 | . 036 . 200 | . 037 . 267 | -. 001 | . 266 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c) | (.027, .352) | (.020, .209) | (.016, .278) |  | (-.023, .278) |
| Proposition 6 | . 044 . 340 | . 032.200 | . 038 . 267 | . 025 | . 266 |
| A5 \& A6 \& 47 c | (.024, .352) | (.019, .209) | (.024, .278) |  | (.009, .277) |
| Bounds on ATT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 1 | -. 162 . 321 | -. 032 . 450 | -. 083 . 399 | -. 137 | . 345 |
| Bounded Outcome (A5) | (-.187, .345) | (-.047, .471) | (-.104, .424) |  | (-.161, .371) |
| Proposition 2 | . 068 . 321 | . 051 . 450 | . 058 . 399 | . 043 | . 345 |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (.044, .346) | (.036, .471) | (.037, .424) |  | (.020, .371) |
| Proposition 3 | . 092 . 321 | . 062 . 450 | . 092 . 399 | . 059 | . 345 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c) | (.048, .347) | (.036, .472) | (.055, .425) |  | (.018, .372) |
| Proposition 6 | . 087 . 321 | . 059 . 450 | . 088 . 399 | . 055 | . 345 |
| A5 \& A6 \& 47 c | (.045, .347) | (.035, .472) | (.052, .425) |  | (.017, .372) |
| Bounds on LATE $n t$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 2 | 0 . 402 | $0 \quad .104$ | 0 . 242 | 0 | . 274 |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (0, .413) | (0, .111) | (0, .252) |  | (0, .285) |
| Proposition 3c | . 008 . 402 | . 007 . 104 | -. 009 . 242 | -. 051 | . 274 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c) | (-.017, .413) | (-.012, .112) | (-.032, .252) |  | (-.076, .285) |
| Proposition 6 | . 008 . 402 | . 007 . 104 | -. 000 . 242 | -. 000 | . 274 |
| A5 \& A 6 \& 47 c | (-.000, .413) | (-.000, .111) | (-.000, .252) |  | (-.000, .285) |
| Bounds on LATE at |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 2 | 0 . 523 | $0 \quad .827$ | 0 . 708 | 0 | . 626 |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (0, .549) | (0, .847) | (0, .729) |  | (0, .649) |
| Proposition 3c | . 049 . 523 | . 023 . 827 | . 071 . 708 | . 034 | . 626 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c) | (.001, .550) | (-.009, .847) | (.031, .730) |  | (-.011, .649) |
| Proposition 6 | . 048 . 523 | . 023 . 827 | . 071 . 708 | . 033 | . 626 |
| A5 \& A 6 \& A 7 c | (.003, .549) | (-.000, .847) | (.032, .730) |  | (-.000, .649) |

Table A4: Estimated Bounds on Average Effects on Self-Reported Health (\# of days) with a Valid IV

|  | Physical Health | Mental | Health | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Poor Physical or } \\ \text { Mental }\end{array}$ Health |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$]$

Table A5: Estimated Bounds on Average Effects on the Alleviation of Financial Strain with a Valid IV

|  | No out of pocket medical expenses | Not owe for medical expenses currently | Not borrow money to pay medical bills |  | Not be refused treatment due to medical debt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bounds on ATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 1 | -. 260 . 450 | -. 284 . 426 | -. 359 . 351 | -. 534 | . 176 |
| Bounded Outcome (A5) | (-.273, .461) | (-.296, .437) | (-.372, .362) |  | (-.544, .184) |
| Proposition 2 | . 056 . 450 | . 052 . 426 | . 045 . 351 | . 010 | . 176 |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (.044, .461) | (.040, .437) | (.033, .362) |  | (.003, .184) |
| Proposition 3c' | -. 260 . 187 | -. 284 . 104 | -. 359 . 137 | -. 534 | . 019 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c') | $(-.273, .210)$ | (-.296, .126) | (-.372, .157) |  | (-.544, .030) |
| Proposition 4' | . 056 . 187 | . 052 . 104 | . 045 . 137 | . 010 | . 019 |
| A5 \& A6 \& A7c' | (.043, .211) | (.038, .129) | (.032, .159) |  | (.002, .032) |
| Bounds on ATT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 1 | -. 139 . 343 | -. 196 . 286 | -. 063 . 419 | -. 018 | . 464 |
| Bounded Outcome (A5) | $(-.165, .366)$ | (-.222, .310) | (-.086, .443) |  | (-.031, .485) |
| Proposition 2 | . 101 . 343 | . 093 . 286 | . 081 . 419 | . 018 | . 464 |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (.077, .367) | (.070, .311) | (.059, .444) |  | (.006, .485) |
| Proposition 3' | -. 139 . 123 | -. 196 . 122 | -. 063 . 123 | -. 018 | . 028 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c') | (-.167, .166) | (-.223, .163) | (-.088, .165) |  | (-.032, .052) |
| Proposition 4' | . 101 . 123 | . 093 . 122 | . 081 . 123 | . 018 | . 028 |
| A5 \& A5 \& A7c' | (.074, .170) | (.067, .168) | (.056, .167) |  | (.004, .053) |
| Bounds on LATE $n t$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 2 | $0 \quad .567$ | 0 . 556 | $0 \quad .367$ | 0 | . 073 |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (0, .579) | ( $0, .568$ ) | ( $0, .378$ ) |  | (0, .079) |
| Proposition 3c' | -. 443 . 217 | -. 444 . 076 | -. 633 . 138 | $-.927$ | . 010 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c') | (-.446, .243) | (-.456, .103) | (-.645, .162) |  | (-.934, .024) |
| Proposition 6' | $0 \quad .217$ | 0 . 076 | 0 . 138 | 0 | . 010 |
| A5 \& A6 \& A7c' | (0, .245) | (0, .106) | (0, .164) |  | (0, .026) |
| Bounds on LATE ${ }_{\text {at }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposition 2 | $0 \quad .502$ | 0 . 401 | $0 \quad .701$ | 0 | . 925 |
| Monotonicity (A6) | (0, .527) | ( $0, .426$ ) | (0, .723) |  | (0, .938) |
| Proposition 3c' | -. 498 . 046 | -. 599 . 060 | -. 299 . 086 | -. 075 | . 022 |
| Mean Dominance (A7c') | (-.524, .093) | (-.624, 107) | (-.322, .132) |  | (-.088, .049) |
| Proposition 6' | 0 . 046 | 0 . 060 | 0 . 086 | 0 | . 022 |
| A5 \& A6 \& A7c' | (0, .100) | (0, .113) | (0, .136) |  | (0, .050) |

### 5.2 Derivation of the Bounds

We start by writing the parameter of interest $\Delta(z)$ for $z=0$ and $z=1$, separately.
$\Delta(1)$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \pi_{a t}(E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t])+\pi_{n t}(E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t])+\pi_{c} L M A T E_{c}^{1}  \tag{16}\\
= & \pi_{a t}(E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t])+\pi_{n t}(E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t]) \\
& +E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-\pi_{a t} L N A T E_{a t}^{0}-\pi_{n t} L N A T E_{n t}^{0}-\pi_{c} L N A T E_{c}^{0}  \tag{17}\\
= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-\pi_{a t} E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]+\pi_{n t} E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-\pi_{c} E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Delta(0)$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \pi_{a t}(E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t])+\pi_{n t}(E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t])+\pi_{c} L M A T E_{c}^{0}(19) \\
= & \pi_{a t}(E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t])+\pi_{n t}(E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t]) \\
& +E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-\pi_{a t} L N A T E_{a t}^{1}-\pi_{n t} L N A T E_{n t}^{1}-\pi_{c} L N A T E_{c}^{1}  \tag{20}\\
= & p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-\pi_{a t} E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]+\pi_{n t} E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]+\pi_{c} E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, by the definitions of $L M A T E_{k}^{z}$ and $L N A T E_{k}^{z}$, for $k=a t, n t, c$, under Assumptions 1 through 3 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { LMATE }_{c}^{z} & =E\left[Y\left(z, D_{1}\right)-Y\left(z, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right], \text { for } z=1 \\
E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c], \text { for } z=0
\end{array}\right. \\
\text { LMATE E } z= & =E\left[Y\left(z, D_{1}\right)-Y\left(z, D_{0}\right) \mid a t\right]=0, \text { for } z=0,1 \\
\text { LMATE Ent } & =E\left[Y\left(z, D_{1}\right)-Y\left(z, D_{0}\right) \mid n t\right]=0, \text { for } z=0,1 \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
L N A T E & =E\left[Y\left(1, D_{z}\right)-Y\left(0, D_{z}\right) \mid c\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right], \text { for } z=1 \\
E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c], \text { for } z=0
\end{array}\right. \\
\text { LNATE E } & =E\left[Y\left(1, D_{z}\right)-Y\left(0, D_{z}\right) \mid a t\right]=E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(0) \mid a t], \text { for } z=0,1 \\
\text { LNATE E } & =E\left[Y\left(1, D_{z}\right)-Y\left(0, D_{z}\right) \mid n t\right]=E[Y(1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t], \text { for } z=0,1 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

In the main text, the relevant point identified objects in our setting are: $\pi_{n t}=p_{0 \mid 1}, \pi_{a t}=p_{1 \mid 0}$, $\pi_{c}=p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}=p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}, E[Y(1)]=E[Y \mid Z=1], E[Y(0)]=E[Y \mid Z=0]$, $E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}, E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$. The partially identified average outcomes of the corresponding strata obtained by the trimming procedure are given by:
$L^{0, n t} \leq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq U^{0, n t}, L^{1, a t} \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq U^{1, a t}, L^{0, c} \leq E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq U^{0, c}$ and $L^{1, c} \leq E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq U^{1, c}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{0, n t} & =E\left[Y \mid Z=0, D=0, Y \leq y_{\left(p_{0 \mid 1} / p_{0 \mid 0}\right)}^{00}\right], U^{0, n t}=E\left[Y \mid Z=0, D=0, Y \geq y_{1-\left(p_{0 \mid 1} / p_{0 \mid 0}\right)}^{00}\right] ; \\
L^{1, a t} & =E\left[Y \mid Z=1, D=1, Y \leq y_{\left(p_{1 \mid 0} / p_{| | 1}\right)}^{10}\right], U^{1, a t}=E\left[Y \mid Z=1, D=1, Y \geq y_{1-\left(p_{1 \mid 0} / p_{1 \mid 1}\right)}^{11}\right] ; \\
L^{0, c} & =E\left[Y \mid Z=0, D=0, Y \leq y_{1-\left(p_{0 \mid 1} / p_{0 \mid 0}\right)}^{00}\right), U^{0, c}=E\left[Y \mid Z=0, D=0, Y \geq y_{\left(p_{0 \mid 1} / p_{0 \mid 0}\right)}^{00}\right) ; \\
L^{1, c} & =E\left[Y \mid Z=1, D=1, Y \leq y_{1-\left(p_{1 \mid 0} / p_{1 \mid 1}\right)}^{11}\right], U^{1, c}=E\left[Y \mid Z=1, D=1, Y \geq y_{\left(p_{1 \mid 0} / p_{1 \mid 1}\right)}^{11}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to the equations $\pi_{n t} E[Y(0) \mid n t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(0) \mid c]=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$ and $\pi_{a t} E[Y(1) \mid a t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(1) \mid c]=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$ in the respective trimming cells $\{Z=0, D=0\}$ and $\{Z=1, D=1\}$, by the nature of the trimming bounds, the following equations also hold: $\pi_{n t} L^{0, n t}+\pi_{c} U^{0, c}=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}, \pi_{n t} U^{0, n t}+\pi_{c} L^{0, c}=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}, \pi_{a t} L^{1, a t}+\pi_{c} U^{1, c}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$ and $\pi_{a t} U^{1, a t}+\pi_{c} L^{1, c}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$. These equations are used to compare bounds generated by different equations for $\Delta(z)$.

### 5.2.1 Proof of Proposition 2

We start by deriving bounds for the non-point identified mean potential outcomes of the strata, and for all the local net and mechanism average treatment effects.
Bounds for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ : A5.2 implies $E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10} \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. A5 does not provide any additional information for a lower bound of $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Since $U^{0, n t}$ can be above or below $\bar{Y}^{10}$, we have: $L^{0, n t} \leq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq \min \left\{U^{0, n t}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\} .{ }^{17}$
Bounds for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ : A5.2 implies $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$. A5 does not provide any additional information for an upper bound of $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$. Thus we have:
$\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq U^{1, a t}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ : A5.1 and A5.2 imply $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$, which implies that $U^{1, c}$ is another upper bound for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$. A 5 does not provide any additional information for a lower bound of $E[Y(0) \mid c]$. Hence, $L^{0, c} \leq E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq \min \left\{U^{0, c}, U^{1, c}\right\}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ : A5.1 and A5.2 imply $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$, which implies that $L^{0, c}$ is another lower bound for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$. Hence, $\max \left\{L^{0, c}, L^{1, c}\right\} \leq E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq U^{1, c}$.
Bounds for $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$ : A5.1 and A5.2 imply $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$, which combined with the results above gives $L^{0, c} \leq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \leq U^{1, c}$.
Bounds for $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$ : A5.1 and A5.2 imply $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$, which combined with the results above gives $L^{0, c} \leq E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq U^{1, c}$.
Bounds for LNATE Ent , for $z=0$, 1: From (23), LNATE $E_{n t}^{z}=\bar{Y}^{10}-E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$, we have ${ }^{18}$ :
$\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\} \leq L N A T E_{n t}^{z} \leq \bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}$, for $z=0,1$.
Bounds for LNATE Eat , for $z=0$, 1: From (23), LNATE Eat $=E[Y(1) \mid a t]-\bar{Y}^{01}$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$, we have:
$\max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \leq L N A T E_{a t}^{z} \leq U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}$, for $z=0,1$.

[^0]Bounds for $L N A T E_{c}^{0}$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{c}^{0}=E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c]$. A5.2 directly states $L N A T E_{c}^{0} \geq 0$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components in $L N A T E_{c}^{0}$ we obtain two additional lower bounds: $L^{0, c}-U^{0, c}$ and $L^{0, c}-U^{1, c}$. By definition, $L^{0, c}-U^{0, c} \leq 0$. Also, employing A5.1 and A5.2, we have $U^{1, c} \geq E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c] \geq L^{0, c}$, so $L^{0, c}-U^{1, c} \leq 0$. Hence, the lower bound for $L N A T E_{c}^{0}$ is 0 . Using the bounds previously derived for the components of $L N A T E_{c}^{0}$, we have the upper bound is $U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}$. Thus, $0 \leq L N A T E_{c}^{0} \leq U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}$.
Bounds for $L N A T E_{c}^{1}$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{c}^{1}=E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$. A5.2 directly states $L N A T E_{c}^{1} \geq 0$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components in $L N A T E_{c}^{1}$ we obtain two additional lower bounds: $L^{0, c}-U^{1, c}$ and $L^{1, c}-U^{1, c}$. By definition, $L^{1, c}-U^{1, c} \leq 0$. Also, employing A5.1 and A5.2, we have $U^{1, c} \geq E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c] \geq L^{0, c}$, so $L^{0, c}-U^{1, c} \leq 0$. Hence, the lower bound for $L N A T E_{c}^{0}$ is 0 . Using the bounds previously derived for the components of $L N A T E_{c}^{0}$, we have the upper bound is $U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}$. Thus, $0 \leq L N A T E_{c}^{0} \leq U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}$.
Bounds for $L M A T E_{c}^{1}$ : LMATE $E_{c}^{1}=E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$. A5.1 directly implies $L M A T E_{c}^{1} \geq 0$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components of $L M A T E_{c}^{1}$ we obtain two additional lower bounds: $L^{1, c}-U^{1, c}$ and $L^{0, c}-U^{1, c}$. Since $L^{1, c}-U^{1, c} \leq 0$ (by definition) and $L^{0, c}-U^{1, c} \leq 0$ (from above), the lower bound for $L M A T E_{c}^{1}$ is 0 . Using the bounds previously derived for the components of $L M A T E_{c}^{1}$, we have the upper bound is $U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}$. Thus, $0 \leq L M A T E_{c}^{1} \leq U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}$.
Bounds for $L M A T E_{c}^{0}: L M A T E_{c}^{0}=E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c]$. A5.1 directly implies $L M A T E_{c}^{0} \geq 0$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components of $L M A T E_{c}^{0}$ we obtain two additional lower bounds: $L^{0, c}-U^{0, c}$ and $L^{0, c}-U^{1, c}$. Since $L^{0, c}-U^{0, c} \leq 0$ (by definition) and $L^{0, c}-U^{1, c} \leq 0$ (from above), the lower bound for $L M A T E_{c}^{0}$ is 0 . Using the bounds previously derived for the components of $L M A T E_{c}^{0}$, we have the upper bound is $U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}$. Thus, $0 \leq L M A T E_{c}^{0} \leq U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]$ : A4 and A5.3 imply $y^{u} \geq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \geq \bar{Y}^{10}$. And thus $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t]$ in (16) and (17) has the following bounds
$0 \leq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t] \leq y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]$ : A4 and A5.3 imply $y^{u} \geq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Thus, we have $y^{u} \geq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \geq L^{0, n t}$. A5.3 directly states $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t] \geq 0$. Using the bounds previously obtained for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ we obtain two additional lower bounds for $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t]: L^{0, n t}-U^{0, n t}$ and $L^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10}$. By definition, $L^{0, n t}-U^{0, n t} \leq 0$. Also, employing A5.2, we have $\bar{Y}^{10}=E[Y(1) \mid n t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \geq L^{0, n t}$, so $L^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10} \leq 0$. Hence, the lower bound for $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ is 0 . Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$, we have the upper bound is $y^{u}-L^{0, n t}$. Thus, $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ in (19) and (20) has the following bounds
$0 \leq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq y^{u}-L^{0, n t}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$ : A4 and A5.3 imply $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \geq y^{l}$. Thus, we have $U^{1, a t} \geq E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \geq y^{l}$. A5.3 directly states $E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \geq 0$. Using the bounds previously obtained for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ we obtain two additional lower bounds for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]: L^{1, a t}-U^{1, a t}$ and $\bar{Y}^{01}-U^{1, a t}$. By definition, $L^{1, a t}-U^{1, a t} \leq 0$. Also, employing A5.2, we have $\bar{Y}^{01}=E[Y(0) \mid a t] \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq U^{1, a t}$, so $\bar{Y}^{01}-U^{1, a t} \leq 0$. Hence, the lower bound for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$ is 0 . Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$, we have the upper bound is $U^{1, a t}-y^{l}$. Thus, $E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$ in (16) and (17) has the following bounds $0 \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \leq U^{1, a t}-y^{l}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$ : A4 and A5.3 imply $\bar{Y}^{01} \geq E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \geq y^{l}$. Thus, $E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$ in (19) and (20) has the following bounds $0 \leq E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}$.

Bounds on $\Delta(z)$. We now derive the bounds for $\Delta(z)$, for $z=0,1$, starting with the lower bound of $\Delta(1)$. We use equations (16) through (18) to derive potential lower bounds for $\Delta(1)$ by plugging in the appropriate bounds derived above into the terms that are not point identified. The corresponding three potential lower bounds are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L B_{\alpha}^{1}= 0 \\
& L B_{\beta}^{1}= E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}\right) \\
&-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}\right) \\
& L B_{\gamma}^{1}= p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 0} U^{1, a t}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{1, c} \\
& L B_{\beta}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{1 \mid 0} U^{1, a t}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{1, c}+p_{0 \mid 1} L^{0, n t}+\left(p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}\right) L^{0, c}= \\
&\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(L^{1, c}-U^{1, c}\right)+\left(p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}\right)\left(L^{0, c}-U^{0, c}\right) \leq 0, \text { where the second equality is obtained } \\
& \text { by } \pi_{n t} L^{0, n t}+\pi_{c} U^{0, c}=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}, \text { and } \pi_{a t} U^{1, a t}+\pi_{c} L^{1, c}=p_{1| |} \bar{Y}^{11} . \\
& L B_{\gamma}^{1}=\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(L^{1, c}-U^{1, c}\right) \leq 0, \text { where the equality follows } \pi_{a t} U^{1, a t}+\pi_{c} L^{1, c}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the lower bound for $\Delta(1)=L B_{\alpha}^{1}=0$.
Similarly, we use equations (19) through (21) to derive potential lower bounds for $\Delta(0)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B_{\alpha}^{0}= & 0 \\
L B_{\beta}^{0}= & E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}\right) \\
& -\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}\right) \\
L B_{\gamma}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}+p_{0 \mid 1} L^{0, n t}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{0, c}
\end{aligned}
$$

After comparison, we find that $L B_{\beta}^{0}=L B_{\beta}^{1} \leq 0$. Intuitively, this is because the parallel assumptions are imposed for $z=0$, and $z=1$, respectively.
$L B_{\gamma}^{0}=\left(p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}\right)\left(L^{0, c}-U^{0, c}\right) \leq 0$, where the equality follows $\pi_{n t} L^{0, n t}+\pi_{c} U^{0, c}=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$. Hence, the lower bound for $\Delta(0)=L B_{\alpha}^{0}=0$.

We now use equations (16) through (18) to derive potential upper bounds for $\Delta(1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{\alpha}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-y^{l}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}\right) \\
U B_{\beta}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-y^{l}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\} \\
U B_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{u}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{0, c}
\end{aligned}
$$

To compare the above upper bounds, we obtain their difference.
$U B_{\beta}^{1}-U B_{\alpha}^{1}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=$
$0]-p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{1, c}+\left(p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}\right) L^{0, c}=$ $p_{0 \mid 1}\left[\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right)-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}\right]+p_{1 \mid 0}\left[\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-\max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right]=$
$p_{0 \mid 1} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}, 0\right\}+p_{1 \mid 0} \min \left\{L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\} \leq 0$. The second equality is derived by the
following equations: $E[Y \mid Z=z]=p_{1 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 1}+p_{0 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 0}$, for $z=0,1$, and
$\pi_{n t} U^{0, n t}+\pi_{c} L^{0, c}=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}, \pi_{a t} L^{1, a t}+\pi_{c} U^{1, c}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$. Thus, $U B_{\beta}^{1}$ dominates $U B_{\alpha}^{1}$.
$U B_{\beta}^{1}-U B_{\gamma}^{1}=$
$p_{1 \mid 0} U^{1, a t}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 1} U^{0, n t}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}=$ $p_{1 \mid 0}\left[\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-\max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right]+p_{0 \mid 1}\left[\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right)-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}\right]=p_{1 \mid 0}$ $\min \left\{U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}, U^{1, a t}-L^{1, a t}\right\}+p_{0 \mid 1} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}, 0\right\}$. Because
$U^{1, a t} \geq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$, the first component involving min. operator is non-negative, while the second component is non-positive. Thus, the upper bound for $\Delta(1)=\min \left\{U B_{\beta}^{1}, U B_{\gamma}^{1}\right\}$.
We now use equations (19) through (21) to derive potential upper bounds for $\Delta(0)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{\alpha}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{u}-L^{0, n t}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}\right) \\
U B_{\beta}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{u}-L^{0, n t}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\} \\
U B_{\gamma}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{u}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{1, c}
\end{aligned}
$$

$U B_{\beta}^{0}-U B_{\alpha}^{0}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=$
$0]-p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}\right)=$ $p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}+p_{1 \mid 0} L^{1, a t}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 1} U^{0, n t}-p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}=$ $p_{0 \mid 1}\left[\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right)-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}\right]+p_{1 \mid 0}\left[\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-\max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right]=$
$p_{0 \mid 1} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}, 0\right\}+p_{1 \mid 0} \min \left\{L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\} \leq 0$. The second equality is derived by the following equations: $E[Y \mid Z=z]=p_{1 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 1}+p_{0 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 0}$, for $z=0,1$, and
$\pi_{n t} U^{0, n t}+\pi_{c} L^{0, c}=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}, \pi_{a t} L^{1, a t}+\pi_{c} U^{1, c}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$. Thus, $U B_{\beta}^{0}$ dominates $U B_{\alpha}^{0}$.
$U B_{\beta}^{0}-U B_{\gamma}^{0}=$
$-p_{0 \mid 1} L^{0, n t}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}+p_{1 \mid 0} L^{1, a t}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}=$ $p_{1 \mid 0}\left[\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-\max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right]+p_{0 \mid 1}\left[\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}\right)-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}\right]=$
$p_{1 \mid 0} \min \left\{L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\}+p_{0 \mid 1} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}, U^{0, n t}-L^{0, n t}\right\}$. Because $\bar{Y}^{10}=E[Y(1) \mid n t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \geq L^{0, n t}$, the first component involving min. operator is non-positive, while the second component is non-negative. Thus, the upper bound for $\Delta(0)=\min \left\{U B_{\beta}^{0}, U B_{\gamma}^{0}\right\}$.
Finally, the bounds for $E[\Delta(z)]$ are obtained by directly plugging the corresponding terms into the equation $\operatorname{Pr}(Z=1) \Delta(1)+\operatorname{Pr}(Z=0) \Delta(0)$.

Bounds on $A T T$. We now derive the bounds on the $A T T$ under the same set of the assumptions. Because $A T T=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} \Gamma(1)+\frac{w_{0}}{r_{1}} \Gamma(0)$, with
$\Gamma(1)=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-\pi_{a t} E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]-\pi_{c} E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$ and $\Gamma(0)=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]\right)$. We start by deriving bounds on $\Gamma(0)$, and then derive bounds on $\Gamma(1)$ according to equations (13), (14) and (15).

Under A4 and A5.3, $0 \leq \Gamma(0) \leq p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)$. The lower bounds on $\Gamma(1)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
l b_{\alpha}^{1}= & 0 \\
l b_{\beta}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-U^{1, a t}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}\right)-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}\right) \\
l b_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} U^{1, a t}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{1, c}
\end{aligned}
$$

After arrangement, $l b_{\beta}^{1}=\left(p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} U^{1, a t}-\pi_{c} U^{1, c}\right)+\left(p_{0 \mid 1} L^{0, n t}+\pi_{c} L^{0, c}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}\right)=$ $\pi_{c}\left(L^{1, c}-U^{1, c}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(L^{0, c}-U^{0, c}\right) \leq 0 . l b_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{c}\left(L^{1, c}-U^{1, c}\right) \leq 0$. Thus, $l b^{1}=l b_{\alpha}^{1}=0$. The upper bounds on $\Gamma(1)$ are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u b_{\alpha}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-y^{l}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(U^{1, c}-L^{0, c}\right) \\
u b_{\beta}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\} \\
u b_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{0, c} \\
u b_{\alpha}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 0} U^{1, a t}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{1, c}-p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-L^{1, a t}\right) \geq 0 . \\
u b_{\beta}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{0, c}-p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}= \\
p_{0| |}\left[\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right)-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}\right] . \text { If } \bar{Y}^{10} \geq U^{0, n t}, u b_{\beta}^{1}=u b_{\gamma}^{1} ; \text { if } \bar{Y}^{10} \leq U^{0, n t}, \\
u b_{\beta}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right) \leq 0 . \text { Thus, } u b^{1}=u b_{\beta}^{1} . \text { Specifically, if } \bar{Y}^{10} \geq U^{0, n t}, \\
u b^{1}=u b_{\beta}^{1}=u b_{\gamma}^{1} ; \text { if } \bar{Y}^{10} \leq U^{0, n t}, u b^{1}=u b_{\beta}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] .
\end{gathered}
$$

According to $A T T=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} \Gamma(1)+\frac{w_{0}}{r_{1}} \Gamma(0), 0 \leq A T T \leq \frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\beta}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)$. After rearrangement, we have $u b_{a}=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\beta}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)$ if $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq U^{0, n t}$, and $u b_{b}=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\gamma}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)$ if $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq U^{0, n t}$. Q.D.E

### 5.2.2 Proof of Proposition 3

As before, we first derive bounds for the non-point identified mean potential outcomes of the strata, and for all the local net and mechanism average treatment effects.

Bounds for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ : A6.2 implies $\bar{Y}^{01}=E[Y(0) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. A6.2 and the equation $\pi_{n t} E[Y(0) \mid n t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(0) \mid c]=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$ imply $E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Since $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq U^{0, n t}$ by definition and $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq \bar{Y}^{01}$ by A6.2, the upper bound is $\bar{Y}^{00}$. A 6 does not provide any additional information for a lower bound of $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Thus, $L^{0, n t} \leq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Bounds for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ : A6.2 implies $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}$. A6.2 and the equation $\pi_{a t} E[Y(1) \mid a t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(1) \mid c]=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$ yield $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq \bar{Y}^{11}$. Since $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq L^{1, a t}$ by definition and $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq \bar{Y}^{10}$ by A 6.2 , the lower bound is $\bar{Y}^{11}$. A 6 does not provide any additional information for an upper bound of $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$. Thus, $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq U^{1, a t}$. Bounds for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ : A6.2 and the equation $\pi_{n t} E[Y(0) \mid n t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(0) \mid c]=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$ yield $E[Y(0) \mid c] \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$, where by definition $\bar{Y}^{00} \geq L^{0, c}$. As for the upper bound, A6.2 implies $E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$, which can be greater or less than $U^{0, c}$. Thus, $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq \min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ : A6.2 and the equation $\pi_{a t} E[Y(1) \mid a t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(1) \mid c]=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$ yield $E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$, where by definition $U^{1, c} \geq \bar{Y}^{11}$. As for the lower bound, A6.2 implies $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}$, which can be greater or less than $L^{1, c}$. Thus, $\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\} \leq E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$.
Bounds for $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$ : A6.1 implies $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}$. Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ yields $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq U^{1, a t}$. Hence, $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \leq U^{1, a t}$.
Bounds for $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$ : A6.1 implies $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$. Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ yields $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \geq L^{0, n t}$. Hence, $L^{0, n t} \leq E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq \bar{Y}^{01}$.
Bounds for LNATE Ent , for $z=0,1$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{n t}^{z}=\bar{Y}^{10}-E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]: \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00} \leq L N A T E_{n t}^{z} \leq \bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}$, for $z=0,1$. Bounds for LNATE Eat , for $z=0$, 1: From (23), LNATE Eat $=E[Y(1) \mid a t]-\bar{Y}^{01}$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]: \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01} \leq L N A T E_{a t}^{z} \leq U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}$, for $z=0,1$. Bounds for LNATE $E_{c}^{0}$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{c}^{0}=E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c]$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components in $L N A T E_{c}^{0}$, we obtain
$\bar{Y}^{10}-\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \leq L N A T E_{c}^{0} \leq U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}$.
Bounds for $L N A T E_{c}^{1}$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{c}^{1}=E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$. Using the bounds previously derived for the components of $L N A T E_{c}^{1}$, we have
$\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{01} \leq L N A T E_{c}^{1} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}-L^{0, n t}$.
Bounds for LMATE ${ }_{c}^{1}$ : LMATE $E_{c}^{1}=E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$. Using the bounds previously derived for the components of $L M A T E_{c}^{1}$, we have
$\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-U^{1, a t} \leq L M A T E_{c}^{1} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}$.
Bounds for LMATE $E_{c}^{0}$ : LMATE $E_{c}^{0}=E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c]$. Using the bounds previously derived for the components of $L M A T E_{c}^{0}$, we have
$L^{0, n t}-\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \leq L M A T E_{c}^{0} \leq \bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]$ : A4 and A6.3 imply $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \geq y^{l}$. Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ yields $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \geq y^{l}$. And thus $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t]$ in (16) and (17) has the following bounds $y^{l}-\bar{Y}^{10} \leq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]$ : A4 and A6.3 imply $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \geq y^{l}$. Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$ yields $\bar{Y}^{01} \geq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \geq y^{l}$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$, we have $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ in (19) and (20) has the following bounds $y^{l}-\bar{Y}^{00} \leq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq \bar{Y}^{01}-L^{0, n t}$.

Bounds for $E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$ : A4 and A6.3 imply $y^{u} \geq E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \geq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$. Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$ yields $y^{u} \geq E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \geq \bar{Y}^{10}$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$, we have $E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$ in (16) and (17) has the following bounds $\bar{Y}^{11}-y^{u} \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \leq U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{10}$.

Bounds for $E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$ : A4 and A6.3 imply $y^{u} \geq E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$. Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ yields $y^{u} \geq E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$. And thus $E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$ in (19) and (20) has the following bounds $\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{u} \leq E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}$.

Bounds on $\Delta(z)$. We now derive the lower bound of $\Delta(1)$ by the use of the equations (16) through (18). The corresponding three potential lower bounds are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B_{\alpha}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-y^{u}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{l}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-U^{1, a t}\right) \\
L B_{\beta}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-y^{u}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{l}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}\right)-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
L B_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{u}+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{l}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{1, a t}
\end{aligned}
$$

After some algebra, we have
$L B_{\beta}^{1}-L B_{\alpha}^{1}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-\right.$ $\left.p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}\right)=\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(L^{1, c}-U^{0, c}+\bar{Y}^{00}-\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}\right)=$ $\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left[\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-U^{0, c}\right)+\left(L^{1, c}-\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}\right)\right] \leq 0$. The second equality is derived by the following equations: $E[Y \mid Z=z]=p_{1 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 1}+p_{0 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 0}$, for $z=0$, 1 , and $\pi_{n t} L^{0, n t}+\pi_{c} U^{0, c}=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$, and $\pi_{a t} U^{1, a t}+\pi_{c} L^{1, c}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$. The inequality is because $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq U^{0, c}$ and $L^{1, c} \leq \max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}$ by definition. Hence, $L B_{\alpha}^{1}$ dominates $L B_{\beta}^{1}$.
$L B_{\gamma}^{1}-L B_{\alpha}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{11}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}=$
$\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}\right) \geq 0$. The inequality is because $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq L^{1, c}$ by definition and $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq \bar{Y}^{10}$ by A6.2. Hence, $L B_{\gamma}^{1}$ dominates $L B_{\alpha}^{1}$. Therefore, the lower bound for $\Delta(1)=L B_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-U^{1, a t}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{l}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-y^{u}\right)$.

Similarly, we use equations (19) through (21) to derive potential lower bounds for $\Delta(0)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B_{\alpha}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{u}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{l}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(L^{0, n t}-\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right) \\
L B_{\beta}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{u}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{l}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}\right)-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-L^{0, n t}\right) \\
L B_{\gamma}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{u}+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{l}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{0, n t}
\end{aligned}
$$

After some algebra, we have
$L B_{\beta}^{0}-L B_{\alpha}^{0}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-\right.$ $\left.p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)=\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(L^{1, c}-U^{0, c}+\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)=$ $\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left[\left(\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-U^{0, c}\right)+\left(L^{1, c}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)\right] \leq 0$. The second equality is derived by the following equations: $E[Y \mid Z=z]=p_{1 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 1}+p_{0 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 0}$, for $z=0,1$, and $\pi_{n t} L^{0, n t}+\pi_{c} U^{0, c}=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$, and $\pi_{a t} U^{1, a t}+\pi_{c} L^{1, c}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$. The inequality is because $L^{1, c} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$ and $\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \leq U^{0, c}$ by definition. Hence, $L B_{\alpha}^{0}$ dominates $L B_{\beta}^{0}$.
$L B_{\gamma}^{0}-L B_{\alpha}^{0}=p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}=$
$\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \geq 0$. The inequality is because $U^{0, c} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$ by definition and $\bar{Y}^{01} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$ by A6.2. Hence, $L B_{\gamma}^{0}$ dominates $L B_{\alpha}^{0}$. Therefore, the lower bound for $\Delta(0)=L B_{\gamma}^{0}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{u}\right)+p_{0 \mid 0}\left(L^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{l}-L^{0, n t}\right)$.
We now use equations (16) through (18) to derive potential upper bounds for $\Delta(1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U B_{\alpha}^{1}= p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right) \\
& U B_{\beta}^{1}= p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
&-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right) \\
& U B_{\gamma}^{1}= p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{10}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \bar{Y}^{10} \\
& U B_{\beta}^{1}-U B_{\alpha}^{1}= E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z= \\
&0]-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{11}- \\
& p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{00}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)=\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second equality is derived by the equations: $E[Y \mid Z=z]=p_{1 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 1}+p_{0 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 0}$, for $z=0,1$.
The inequality is because $U^{0, c} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$ by definition and $\bar{Y}^{01} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$ by A6.2. Thus, $U B_{\alpha}^{1}$
dominates $U B_{\beta}^{1}$. $U B_{\gamma}^{1}-U B_{a}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} U^{1, a t}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \bar{Y}^{11}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-U^{1, a t}\right) \leq 0$,
where the inequality follows the definition $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq U^{1, a t}$. Thus, $U B_{\gamma}^{1}$ dominates $U B_{a}^{1}$.
Therefore, the upper bound for $\Delta(1)=U B_{\gamma}^{1}=\bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}=\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}$.
We now use equations (19) through (21) to derive potential upper bounds for $\Delta(0)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{\alpha}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-L^{0, n t}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
U B_{\beta}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-L^{0, n t}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right) \\
U B_{\gamma}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{01}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \bar{Y}^{01}
\end{aligned}
$$

$U B_{\beta}^{0}-U B_{\alpha}^{0}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-\right.$ $\left.\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}\right)=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{11}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{00}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}\right)=$ $\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}\right) \geq 0$. The second equality is derived by the equations: $E[Y \mid Z=z]=p_{1 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 1}+p_{0 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 0}$, for $z=0,1$. The inequality is because $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq L^{1, c}$ by definition and $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq \bar{Y}^{10}$ by A6.2. Thus, $U B_{\alpha}^{0}$ dominates $U B_{\beta}^{0}$.
$U B_{\gamma}^{0}-U B_{a}^{0}=p_{0 \mid 1} L^{0, n t}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+\left(p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}\right) \bar{Y}^{00}=p_{0 \mid 1}\left(L^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \leq 0$, where the inequality follows the definition $L^{0, n t} \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Thus, $U B_{\gamma}^{0}$ dominates $U B_{a}^{0}$. Therefore, the upper bound for $\Delta(0)=U B_{\gamma}^{0}=p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{01}+p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}=\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}$.
Finally, the bounds for $E[\Delta(z)]$ are obtained by directly plugging the corresponding terms into the equation $\operatorname{Pr}(Z=1) \Delta(1)+\operatorname{Pr}(Z=0) \Delta(0)$.

Bounds on $A T T$. Under A4 and A6.3, $p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{u}\right) \leq \Gamma(0) \leq p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)$. The lower bounds on $\Gamma(1)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
l b_{\alpha}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-y^{u}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-U^{1, a t}\right) \\
l b_{\beta}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{u}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}\right)-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
l b_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{u}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{1, a t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$l b_{\alpha}^{1}-l b_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{a t} \bar{Y}^{11}+\pi_{c} \max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}=\pi_{c}\left(\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right) \leq 0$, by $E[Y(1) \mid n t] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$, and $L^{1, c} \leq \frac{\pi_{a t}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{\pi_{c}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{1, c}=\bar{Y}^{11}$.
$l b_{\beta}^{1}-l b_{\gamma}^{1}=-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+\pi_{n t} L^{0, n t}+\pi_{c} \bar{Y}^{00}=\pi_{n t}\left(L^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \leq 0$, by
$L^{0, n t} \leq \frac{\pi_{c}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{0, c}+\frac{\pi_{n t}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}=\bar{Y}^{00}$. Thus, $l b^{1}=l b_{\gamma}^{1}$. The upper bounds on $\Gamma(1)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u b_{\alpha}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right) \\
u b_{\beta}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right) \\
u b_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{10}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \bar{Y}^{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

$u b_{\alpha}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{a t} U^{1, a t}+\pi_{c} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}=\pi_{a t}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right) \geq 0$, by
$U^{1, a t} \geq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(1) \mid c]$.
$u b_{\beta}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+\pi_{n t} \bar{Y}^{00}+\pi_{c} \min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}=\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \geq 0$, by $\min \left\{U^{0 . c}, E[Y(0) \mid a t]\right\} \geq E[Y(0) \mid c] \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Thus, $u b^{1}=u b_{\gamma}^{1}$. According to
$A T T=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} \Gamma(1)+\frac{w_{0}}{r_{1}} \Gamma(0)$, we have $l b=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} l b_{\gamma}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{u}\right)$ and
$u b=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\gamma}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)$. Q.D.E

### 5.2.3 Proof of Proposition 4

Bounds for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ : A5.2 implies $E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}$; and A6.2 implies $E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$ (see proof of Prop. 3), where by definition $U^{0, n t} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Combining A5 and A6 does not yield any additional upper bound for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ that could be lower than $\bar{Y}^{00}$ or $\bar{Y}^{10} .{ }^{19}$ By the equation $\pi_{n t} E[Y(0) \mid n t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(0) \mid c]=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$ and A6.2
$E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq E[Y(0) \mid c]$, we have that $E[Y(1) \mid n t]$ can be greater or less than $E[Y(0) \mid c]$. Hence, the upper bound for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ is $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$. A 5 and A 6 do not provide any additional information for a lower bound of $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Thus, $L^{0, n t} \leq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ : A5.2 implies $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$; and A6.2 implies $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq \bar{Y}^{11}$ (see proof of Prop. 3), where by definition $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq L^{1, a t}$. Combining A5 and A6 does not yield any additional lower bound for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ that could be greater than $\bar{Y}^{11}$ or $\bar{Y}^{01}$. By the equation $\pi_{a t} E[Y(1) \mid a t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(1) \mid c]=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$ and A6.2 $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(1) \mid c]$, we have that $E[Y(0) \mid a t]$ can be greater or less than $E[Y(1) \mid c]$. Hence, the lower bound for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ is $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}$. A5 and A6 do not provide any additional information for an upper bound of $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$. Thus, $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq U^{1, a t}$. Bounds for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ : A6.2 and the equation $\pi_{n t} E[Y(0) \mid n t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(0) \mid c]=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$ yield $E[Y(0) \mid c] \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$, where by definition $\bar{Y}^{00} \geq L^{0, c}$. Regarding an upper bound, the trimming procedure implies $E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq U^{0, c}$. A6.2 implies $E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$. Finally, A5 implies $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$. Below we show that the upper bound for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ under A1, A3, A5 and A6 equals $\bar{Y}^{11}$, so $E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$. Depending on the data, any of the previous three upper bounds for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ can be less than the other two. Thus, we obtain $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq \min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right\}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ : A6.2 and the equation $\pi_{a t} E[Y(1) \mid a t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(1) \mid c]=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$ yield $E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$, where by definition $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq U^{1, c}$. Regarding a lower bound, the trimming procedure implies $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq L^{1, c}$. A6.2 implies $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}$. Finally, A5 implies $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$. Above we showed that the lower bound for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ under A1, A3, A5 and A6 equals $\bar{Y}^{00}$, so $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Depending on the data, any of the previous three lower bounds for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ can be greater than the other two. Thus, we obtain $\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \leq E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$.
Bounds for $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$ : A5.2 implies $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$. From above, the lower bound for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ equals $\bar{Y}^{00}$. A6.1 implies $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}$, which can be greater or less than $\bar{Y}^{00}$ (see above). Hence, $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \geq \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}$. A5.1 implies

[^1]$E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$. From above, the upper bound for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ equals $\bar{Y}^{11}$. Note that A6.1 implies $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq U^{1, a t}$, but by definition $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq U^{1, a t}$.
Therefore, $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \leq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$.
Bounds for $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$ : A5.2 implies $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(1) \mid c]$. From above, the upper bound for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ equals $\bar{Y}^{11}$. A6.1 implies $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$, which can be greater or less than $\bar{Y}^{11}$ (see above). Hence, $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}$. A5.1 implies $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$. From above, the lower bound for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ equals $\bar{Y}^{00}$. Note that A6.1 implies $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \geq L^{0, n t}$, but by definition $\bar{Y}^{00} \geq L^{0, n t}$.
Therefore, $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}$.
Bounds for LNATE $E_{n t}^{z}$, for $z=0,1$ : From (23), LNATE $n_{n t}^{z}=\bar{Y}^{10}-E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ we have:
$\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \leq L N A T E_{n t}^{z} \leq \bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}$, for $z=0,1$.
Bounds for $L N A T E_{a t}^{z}$, for $z=0$, 1: From (23), LNATE $E_{a t}^{z}=E[Y(1) \mid a t]-\bar{Y}^{01}$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ we have:
$\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \leq L N A T E_{a t}^{z} \leq U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}$, for $z=0,1$.
Bounds for LNATE $E_{c}^{0}$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{c}^{0}=E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c]$. A5.2 directly implies $L N A T E_{c}^{0} \geq 0$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components of LNATE $E_{c}^{0}$ we obtain six additional potential lower bounds: $\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, \bar{Y}^{00}-U^{0, c}$, $\bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{11}$ and $\bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{01}$. Note that: $\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{11} \leq 0, \bar{Y}^{00}-U^{0, c} \leq 0, \bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{11} \leq 0$, and $\bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{01} \leq 0$. Hence, $L N A T E_{c}^{0} \geq \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\}$. We have the upper bound $\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{00}$. Thus, $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\} \leq$ LNATE $E_{c}^{0} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{00}$.
Bounds for LNATE $E_{c}^{1}$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{c}^{1}=E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$. A5.2 directly implies $L N A T E_{c}^{1} \geq 0$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components of LNATE $E_{c}^{1}$ we obtain six additional potential lower bounds: $L^{1, c}-\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{11}, L^{1, c}-\bar{Y}^{01}$, $\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}$ and $\bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{01}$. Note that: $L^{1, c}-\bar{Y}^{11} \leq 0, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{11} \leq 0, \bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{11} \leq 0$, and $\bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{01} \leq 0$. Hence, $L N A T E_{c}^{1} \geq \max \left\{L^{1, c}-\bar{Y}^{01}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\}$. We have the upper bound $\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{00}$. Thus, $\max \left\{L^{1, c}-\bar{Y}^{01}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\} \leq L N A T E_{c}^{1} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{00}$.
Bounds for $L M A T E_{c}^{1}: L M A T E_{c}^{1}=E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$. A5.1 directly implies $L M A T E_{c}^{1} \geq 0$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components of LMATE ${ }_{c}^{1}$ we obtain three additional potential lower bounds: $L^{1, c}-\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{11}$ and $\bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{11}$. Each of these three expressions is less than or equal to zero. We have the upper bound
$\bar{Y}^{11}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$. Thus, $0 \leq L M A T E_{c}^{1} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$.
Bounds for LMATE $E_{c}^{0}$ : LMATE $E_{c}^{0}=E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c]$. A5.1 directly implies $L M A T E_{c}^{0} \geq 0$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components of LMATE ${ }_{c}^{0}$ we obtain three additional potential lower bounds: $\bar{Y}^{00}-U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{01}, \bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{11}$. Each of these three expressions is less than or equal to zero. We have the upper bound $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}$. Thus, $0 \leq L M A T E E_{c}^{0} \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}$.

Bounds for $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]$ : A5.3 and A6.3 imply $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \geq E[Y(1) \mid n t]$. Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ yields $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \geq$ $\bar{Y}^{10}$. And thus $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t]$ in (16) and (17) has the following bounds $0 \leq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]$ : A5.3 and A6.3 imply $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Combining with the bounds derived for $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$ and $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ yields $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \geq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \geq L^{0, n t}$. Thus, we have $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ in (19) and (20) has the following bounds $0 \leq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-L^{0, n t}$.

Bounds for $E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$ : A5.3 and A6.3 imply $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \geq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$.
Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$ and $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ yields $U^{1, a t} \geq E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \geq \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$. Thus, we have $E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$ in (16) and (17) has the following bounds $0 \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \leq U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$.

Bounds for $E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$ : A5.3 and A6.3 imply $E[Y(0) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$.
Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ yields
$\bar{Y}^{01} \geq E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$. And thus $E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$ in (19) and (20) has the following bounds $0 \leq E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}$.

Bounds on $\Delta(z)$. We now use equations (16) through (18) to derive the lower bounds for $\Delta(1)$. The corresponding three potential lower bounds are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B_{\alpha}^{1}= & 0 \\
L B_{\beta}^{1}= & E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right) \\
& -p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}\right)-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
L B_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 0} U^{1, a t}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \bar{Y}^{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

After some algebra, we have
$L B_{\beta}^{1}=\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{1, c}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{0, c}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)=$ $\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left[\left(L^{1, c}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)+\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-U^{0, c}\right)\right] \leq 0$. The second equality is derived by the following equations: $E[Y \mid Z=z]=p_{1 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 1}+p_{0 \mid z} \bar{Y}^{z 0}$, for $z=0,1$, and $\pi_{n t} L^{0, n t}+\pi_{c} U^{0, c}=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$, and $\pi_{a t} U^{1, a t}+\pi_{c} L^{1, c}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$. The inequality is because $L^{1, c} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$ and $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq U^{0, c}$. Thus, $L B_{\beta}^{1} \leq L B_{\alpha}^{1} . L B_{\gamma}^{1}=\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{1, c}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \bar{Y}^{11}=\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(L^{1, c}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right) \leq 0$, where the first equality is derived from $\pi_{a t} U^{1, a t}+\pi_{c} L^{1, c}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$ and the inequality is because $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq L^{1, c}$ by definition. Hence, $L B_{\gamma}^{1} \leq L B_{\alpha}^{1}$. Therefore, the lower bound for $\Delta(1)=L B_{\alpha}^{1}=0$.

Similarly, we use equations (19) through (21) to derive potential lower bounds for $\Delta(0)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B_{\alpha}^{0}= & 0 \\
L B_{\beta}^{0}= & E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right) \\
& -p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}\right)-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
L B_{\gamma}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}+p_{0 \mid 1} L^{0, n t}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \bar{Y}^{00}
\end{aligned}
$$

After comparison, we have $L B_{\beta}^{0}=L B_{\beta}^{1} \leq 0$. Thus, $L B_{\beta}^{0} \leq L B_{\alpha}^{0}$.
$L B_{\gamma}^{1}=-\left(p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}\right) U^{0, c}+\left(p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}\right) \bar{Y}^{00}=\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-U^{0, c}\right) \leq 0$, where the first equality is derived from $\pi_{n t} L^{0, n t}+\pi_{c} U^{0, c}=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$ and the inequality is because $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq U^{0, c}$ by definition. Hence, $L B_{\gamma}^{0} \leq L B_{\alpha}^{0}$. Therefore, the lower bound for $\Delta(0)=L B_{\alpha}^{0}=0$. We now use equations (16) through (18) to derive potential upper bounds for $\Delta(1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{\alpha}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}\right) \\
U B_{\beta}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \\
& -\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\} \\
U B_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

To compare, $U B_{\gamma}^{1}-U B_{\alpha}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} U^{1, a t}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \bar{Y}^{11}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-U^{1, a t}\right) \leq 0$, where the inequality follows the definition $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq U^{1, a t}$. Thus, $U B_{\gamma}^{1}$ dominates $U B_{\alpha}^{1}$. $U B_{\beta}^{1}-U B_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-\right.$ $\left.p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\}\right)$. If $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$, $U B_{\beta}^{1}-U B_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \geq 0$, because $U^{1, a t} \geq \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}$ and $\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Thus, $U B_{\gamma}^{1}$ dominates $U B_{\beta}^{1}$ when $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}, U B_{\gamma}^{1}=\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}$. If $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$,
$U B_{\beta}^{1}-U B_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)$. We cannot determine the sign because $U^{1, a t} \geq \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}$ and $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq \bar{Y}^{00} . U B_{\gamma}^{1}=\bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{00}$, and $U B_{\beta}^{1}=\bar{Y}^{11}-E[Y \mid Z=0]+p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)$. Thus, $U B_{a}^{1}=\bar{Y}^{11}-E[Y \mid Z=0]+p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)$ and $U B_{b}^{1}=U B_{\gamma}^{1}$. Finally, we have to show that when $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}, U B_{\gamma}^{1} \leq U B_{a}^{1}$.
$U B_{\gamma}^{1}-U B_{a}^{1}=\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{10}+\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-U^{1, a t}\right) \leq 0$, because $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq \bar{Y}^{10}$ and $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \leq U^{1, a t}$.

We now use equations (19) through (21) to derive potential upper bounds for $\Delta(0)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{\alpha}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
U B_{\beta}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \\
& -\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{L^{1, c}-\bar{Y}^{01}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\} \\
U B_{\gamma}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $U B_{\gamma}^{0}-U B_{\alpha}^{0}=-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1} L^{0, n t}+\left(p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}\right) \bar{Y}^{00}=p_{0 \mid 1}\left(L^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \leq 0$, where the inequality follows the definition $L^{0, n t} \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Thus, $U B_{\gamma}^{0}$ dominates $U B_{\alpha}^{0}$.
$U B_{\beta}^{0}-U B_{\gamma}^{0}=p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right)+p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\right.$ $\left.\max \left\{L^{1, c}-\bar{Y}^{01}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\}-\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)$. If $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq \bar{Y}^{01}$,
$U B_{\beta}^{0}-U B_{\gamma}^{0}=p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right) \geq 0$, because $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \geq L^{0, n t}$, and $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq \max \left\{L^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}$. Thus, $U B_{\gamma}^{0}$ dominates $U B_{\beta}^{0}$ when $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq \bar{Y}^{01}, U B_{\gamma}^{0}=p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}$. If $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq \bar{Y}^{01}$, $U B_{\beta}^{0}-U B_{\gamma}^{0}=p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right)+p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)$. We cannot determine the sign because $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \geq L^{0, n t}$ and $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq \bar{Y}^{01}$.
$U B_{\beta}^{0}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-\bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-L^{0, n t}-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\}\right)$, and
$U B_{\gamma}^{0}=p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{11}$. Thus,
$U B_{a}^{0}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-\bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-L^{0, n t}-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\}\right)$ and $U B_{b}^{0}=U B_{\gamma}^{0}$. Finally, we have to show that when $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq \bar{Y}^{01}, U B_{\gamma}^{0} \leq U B_{a}^{0}$.
$U B_{\gamma}^{0}-U B_{a}^{0}=\pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{11}+L^{0, n t}-\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}\right) \leq 0$, because $\bar{Y}^{01} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$, and $L^{0, n t} \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$.
Finally, the bounds for $E[\Delta(z)]$ are obtained by directly plugging the corresponding terms into the equation $\operatorname{Pr}(Z=1) \Delta(1)+\operatorname{Pr}(Z=0) \Delta(0)$.

Bounds on $A T T$. Under the same set of the assumptions, $0 \leq \Gamma(0) \leq p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)$. The lower bounds on $\Gamma(1)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
l b_{\alpha}^{1}= & 0 \\
l b_{\beta}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-U^{1, a t}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}\right)-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
l b_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} U^{1, a t}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \bar{Y}^{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

After arrangement, $l b_{\beta}^{1}=-\pi_{a t} U^{1, a t}+p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+\pi_{n t} L^{0, n t}-\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)=$ $\pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-U^{1, a t}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(L^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \leq 0$. $l b_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-U^{1, a t}\right) \leq 0$. Thus, $l b^{1}=l b_{\alpha}^{1}=0$. The
upper bounds on $\Gamma(1)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u b_{\alpha}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}\right) \\
u b_{\beta}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\} \\
u b_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$u b_{\alpha}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{a t} U^{1, a t}+\pi_{c} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}=\pi_{a t}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right) \geq 0$, by
$U^{1, a t} \geq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(1) \mid c] . u b_{\beta}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-$
$\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$. If
$\bar{Y}^{10} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}, u b_{\beta}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+\pi_{n t} \bar{Y}^{00}-\pi_{c} \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\}+\pi_{c} \bar{Y}^{10}=$ $\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\}\right)=\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{U^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \geq 0$. That is, $u b_{\beta}^{1} \geq u b_{\gamma}^{1}$. If $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq \bar{Y}^{00}, u b_{\beta}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+\pi_{n t} \bar{Y}^{10}+\pi_{c} \bar{Y}^{00}=\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \leq 0$. That is, $u b_{\beta}^{1} \leq u b_{\gamma}^{1}$. Thus, if $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$, $u b^{1}=u b_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 1} \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}$; and if $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$, $u b^{1}=u b_{\beta}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]=E[Y \mid Z=1]-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$. Therefore, according to $A T T=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} \Gamma(1)+\frac{w_{0}}{r_{1}} \Gamma(0)$, $0 \leq A T T \leq \frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} \min \left\{u b_{\beta}^{1}, u b_{\gamma}^{1}\right\}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)$. After rearrangement, $u b_{a}=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\beta}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)$ if $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$, and $u b_{a}=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\gamma}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)$ if $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Q.D.E.

### 5.3 Sharpness of the Bounds

We prove that our bounds in Propositions 1 through 4 are sharp in the sense that they are the narrowest bounds under their corresponding assumptions and they are consistent with the data as well. The proof is completed by showing the sharpness of the bounds on $\Delta(1)$ and on $\Delta(0)$ separately. The logic of the proof consists of writing $\Delta(1)$ (and $\Delta(0)$ ) as a weighted average of counterfactual and observed conditional average outcomes and then defining conditional distributions that can generate such means. These defined distributions satisfy the employed assumptions and are also consistent with the observed data. In each proposition, we also show that the bounds on the $A T T$ are sharp.

### 5.3.1 Proof of Proposition 1

Bounds on $\Delta(z)$. Let $\tau^{1}$ denote the value of $\Delta(1)$. We will show that $\forall \tau^{1} \in\left[L B^{1}, U B^{1}\right]$ in Proposition 1, there exist distributions consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1
through 4, and $\Delta(1)=\tau^{1}$ evaluated under such distributions.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(1) & \equiv E[Y(1,1)-Y(1,0) \mid Z=1]  \tag{24}\\
& =p_{1 \mid 1} E[Y(1,1)-Y(1,0) \mid Z=1, D=1]+p_{0 \mid 1} E[Y(1,1)-Y(1,0) \mid Z=1, D=0] \\
& =p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 1} E[Y(1,0) \mid Z=1, D=1]+p_{0 \mid 1} E[Y(1,1) \mid Z=1, D=0]-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The second equality is derived from the Law of Iterated Expectations. Denote $E[Y(1,0) \mid Z=1, D=1]$ as $q_{10}^{11}$ and $E[Y(1,1) \mid Z=1, D=0]$ as $q_{11}^{10}$. Equation (24) shows that for any value of $\Delta(1)$, it can be written as $\Delta(1)=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 1} q_{10}^{11}+p_{0 \mid 1} q_{11}^{10}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}$. Let $F_{Y \mid Z, D}(y \mid z, d)$ denote the observed distribution of $Y$ conditional on $Z=z$ and $D=d$. Let $y_{z d}$ denote the value of the potential outcome $Y(z, d)$. Then, let $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ denote the distribution of the potential outcome $Y(1,0)$ conditional on $Z=1$ and $D=d$. Similarly, $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ denotes the distribution of the potential outcome $Y(1,1)$ conditional on $Z=1$ and $D=d$. We define them as follows:

$$
F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
F_{Y \mid Z, D}(y \mid 1,0), & \text { if } d=0  \tag{25}\\
1\left[y_{10} \geq q_{10}^{11}\right], & \text { if } d=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
F_{Y \mid Z, D}(y \mid 1,1), & \text { if } d=1  \tag{26}\\
1\left[y_{11} \geq q_{11}^{10}\right], & \text { if } d=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[y^{l}, y^{u}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[y^{l}, y^{u}\right]$. The ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ are consistent with theirs under Assumption 4. Under such distributions, $\Delta(1)=\tau^{1}$ for $\forall \tau^{1} \in\left[L B^{1}, U B^{1}\right]$ in
Proposition 1. In particular, $L B^{1}$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=y^{u}$ and $q_{11}^{10}=y^{l}$, while $U B^{1}$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=y^{l}$ and $q_{11}^{10}=y^{u}$.
Similarly, let $\tau^{0}$ denote the value of $\Delta(0)$. We will show that $\forall \tau^{0} \in\left[L B^{0}, U B^{0}\right]$ in Proposition 1, there exist distributions consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 4, and $\Delta(0)=\tau^{0}$ evaluated under such distributions.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(0) & \equiv E[Y(0,1)-Y(0,0) \mid Z=0]  \tag{27}\\
& =p_{1 \mid 0} E[Y(0,1)-Y(0,0) \mid Z=0, D=1]+p_{0 \mid 0} E[Y(0,1)-Y(0,0) \mid Z=0, D=0] \\
& =p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{1 \mid 0} E[Y(0,0) \mid Z=0, D=1]+p_{0 \mid 0} E[Y(0,1) \mid Z=0, D=0]-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote $E[Y(0,0) \mid Z=0, D=1]$ as $q_{00}^{01}$ and $E[Y(0,1) \mid Z=0, D=0]$ as $q_{01}^{00}$. Equation (27) shows that for any value of $\Delta(0)$, it can be written as $\Delta(0)=p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{1 \mid 0} q_{00}^{01}+p_{0 \mid 0} q_{01}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$. Let $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ denote the distribution of the potential outcome $Y(0,0)$ conditional on $Z=0$ and $D=d$. Similarly, $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ denotes the distribution of the potential outcome $Y(0,1)$ conditional on $Z=0$ and $D=d$. We define them as follows:

$$
F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
F_{Y \mid Z, D}(y \mid 0,0), & \text { if } d=0  \tag{28}\\
1\left[y_{00} \geq q_{00}^{01}\right], & \text { if } d=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
F_{Y \mid Z, D}(y \mid 0,1), & \text { if } d=1  \tag{29}\\
1\left[y_{01} \geq q_{01}^{00}\right], & \text { if } d=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[y^{l}, y^{u}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[y^{l}, y^{u}\right]$. The ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$ are consistent with theirs under Assumption 4. Under such distributions, $\Delta(0)=\tau^{0}$ for $\forall \tau^{0} \in\left[L B^{0}, U B^{0}\right]$ in Proposition 1. In particular, $L B^{0}$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=y^{u}$ and $q_{01}^{00}=y^{l}$, while $U B^{0}$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=y^{l}$ and $q_{01}^{00}=y^{u}$.

Bounds on $A T T$. Equation (12) shows that for any value of $A T T$, it can be written as $A T T=E[Y \mid D=1]-\frac{w_{1} p_{1 \mid 1}}{r_{1}} q_{10}^{11}-\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}} q_{00}^{01}$. Let $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ denote the distribution of the potential outcome $Y(1,0)$ conditional on $Z=1$ and $D=1$, and $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ denote the distribution of the potential outcome $Y(0,0)$ conditional on $Z=0$ and $D=1$. Follow the same distributions defined in equations (25) and (28), with the same ranges of $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[y^{l}, y^{u}\right]$ and $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[y^{l}, y^{u}\right]$. The ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{00}^{01}$ equal their respective ranges under Assumption 4. Under such distributions, $A T T=\rho$ for $\forall \rho \in[l b, u b]$. In particular, $l b$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=y^{u}$ and $q_{00}^{01}=y^{u}$, while $u b$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=y^{l}$ and $q_{00}^{01}=y^{l}$. Q.D.E.

### 5.3.2 Proof of Proposition 2

Bounds on $\Delta(z)$. Since the upper bounds in Proposition 2 involve min operators, the sharpness proof is completed conditional on the specific values of the upper bounds. When deriving the upper bound on $\Delta(1)$ in Proposition 2, we have derived the difference between its two values, $U B_{a}^{1}$ and $U B_{b}^{1}$. According to the notation in the Internet Appendix, $U B_{a}^{1}-U B_{b}^{1}=U B_{\beta}^{1}-U B_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 0} \min \left\{U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}, U^{1, a t}-L^{1, a t}\right\}+p_{0 \mid 1} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}, 0\right\}$. Let us denote this difference as $\theta^{1}$. When $\theta^{1} \geq 0, U B^{1}=U B_{b}^{1}$, otherwise $U B^{1}=U B_{a}^{1}$. The following proof regarding the bounds on $\Delta(1)$ is discussed based on the value of $\theta^{1}$. We start by showing that when $\theta^{1} \geq 0, \forall \tau^{1} \in\left[0, U B_{b}^{1}\right]$ in Proposition 2, there exist distributions consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 5, and $\Delta(1)=\tau^{1}$ evaluated under such distributions. According to equation (24), we define $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ in a similar way to equations (25) and (26), but modify the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ subject to the constraints implied by Assumptions 1 through 5. By the principal stratification under Assumptions 1 through 3, $q_{10}^{11}=\frac{\pi_{a t}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]+\frac{\pi_{c}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$, and $q_{11}^{10}=E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]$. When deriving the bounds in Proposition 2, we have shown that Assumptions 4 and 5 imply $E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \in\left[y^{l}, U^{1, a t}\right], E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \in\left[L^{0, c}, U^{1, c}\right]$, and $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, y^{u}\right]$. Thus, the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ are $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, c}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, y^{u}\right]$. Since these are the ranges under the current assumptions, the ranges for defining $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ should be subsets of the above ranges. By equation (24), $L B^{1}=0$ is
achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=\bar{Y}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}=\bar{Y}^{10}$. Rearrange the terms in $U B_{b}^{1}$ by equation (24), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{b}^{1} & =p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{0, c}+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{u}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10} \\
& =p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 1}\left(\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{u}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $U B_{b}^{1}$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}$ and $q_{11}^{10}=y^{u}$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ are $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, y^{u}\right]$. For $q_{10}^{11}$, its defined range $\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ is a subset of $\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, c}\right]$ because $\bar{Y}^{11}=\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1| |}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{1, c} \leq \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, c}$. For $q_{11}^{10}$, its defined range equals its range implied by the assumptions. At last, it is straightforward to show that the defined ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ are valid. That is, $\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$ and $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq y^{u}$. The latter is implied by Assumption 4, while the former is derived by $y^{l} \leq L^{1, a t}, L^{0, c} \leq U^{1, c}$, and $\bar{Y}^{11}=\frac{\pi_{a t}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{1, a t}+\frac{\pi_{c}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, c}$. Therefore, when $\theta^{1} \geq 0, \forall \tau^{1} \in\left[0, U B_{b}^{1}\right]$ in Proposition 2, there exist distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ defined in equations (25) and (26), where $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, y^{u}\right]$, and $\Delta(1)=\tau^{1}$ evaluated under these distributions.
Now we show that when $\theta^{1} \leq 0, \forall \tau^{1} \in\left[0, U B_{a}^{1}\right]$ in Proposition 2, there exist distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ that are consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 5 , and $\Delta(1)=\tau^{1}$ evaluated under these distributions. Since $U B_{a}^{1}$ is derived from equation (17), by expending the local net effects of the different strata, we write $\Delta(1)$ as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(1)= & \pi_{a t}(E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t])+\pi_{n t}(E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t])+E[Y \mid Z=1] \\
& -E[Y \mid Z=0]-\pi_{a t}(E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(0) \mid a t])-\pi_{n t}(E[Y(1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t]) \\
& -\pi_{c}\left(E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c]\right) \\
= & E[Y \mid Z=1]-2 \pi_{n t} E[Y(1) \mid n t]-\pi_{a t} E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]-\pi_{c} E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]  \tag{30}\\
& +\pi_{n t} E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \\
= & E[Y \mid Z=1]-2 p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 1} E[Y(1,0) \mid Z=1, D=1]+p_{0 \mid 1} E[Y(1,1) \mid Z=1, D=0] .
\end{align*}
$$

The last equality is derived from the principal stratification under Assumptions 1 through 3. Equation (30) shows that for any value of $\Delta(1)$, it can be written as $\Delta(1)=E[Y \mid Z=1]-2 p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 1} q_{10}^{11}+p_{0 \mid 1} q_{11}^{10}$. According to equations (24) and (30), we redefine $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ by modifying the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$. By equation (24), $L B^{1}=0$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=\bar{Y}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}=\bar{Y}^{10}$. Rearranging the terms
in $U B_{a}^{1}$ according to equation (30), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{a}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-y^{l}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-U^{0, n t}\right) \\
& -\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(L^{0, c}-L^{0, c}\right) \\
= & -p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{0, c}+E[Y \mid Z=1]-2 p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10} \\
& +p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{u}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}+\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right) \\
= & E[Y \mid Z=1]-2 p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 1}\left(\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}\right) \\
& +p_{0 \mid 1}\left[y^{u}-\max \left\{0, U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right\}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{0 \mid 1}}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

$U B_{a}^{1}$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}$ and
$q_{11}^{10}=y^{u}-\max \left\{0, U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right\}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{0 \mid 1}}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ are $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ and
$q_{11}^{10} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, y^{u}-\max \left\{0, U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right\}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{0 \mid 1}}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)\right]$. Again, these defined ranges should be subsets of the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ under Assumptions 1 through 5. In the case of $\theta^{1} \geq 0$, we have shown that the defined range of $q_{10}^{11},\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$, is a subset of $\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, c}\right]$. To show the defined range of $q_{11}^{10}$ is a subset of $\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, y^{u}\right]$, we have to show that
$y^{u}-\max \left\{0, U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right\}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{0 \mid 1}}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right) \leq y^{u}$. That is, $\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{0 \mid 1}}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right) \leq \max \left\{0, U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right\}$. The condition $\theta^{1} \leq 0$ suffices to show this inequality, where $\theta^{1}=p_{1 \mid 0} \min \left\{U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}, U^{1, a t}-L^{1, a t}\right\}+p_{0 \mid 1} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}, 0\right\}$. $\theta^{1} \leq 0$ implies $p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{1, a t}\right\}\right) \leq p_{0 \mid 1} \max \left\{U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10}, 0\right\}$. Finally, we show that these defined ranges themselves are valid. The validity of the defined range of $q_{10}^{11}$ is shown in the case of $\theta^{1} \geq 0$. To show the defined range of $q_{11}^{10}$ is also valid, we use the condition $\theta^{1} \leq 0$. Because $\max \left\{U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10}, 0\right\} \geq 0$ and $U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{1, a t}\right\} \geq 0, \theta^{1} \leq 0$ implies $\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{0 \mid 1}} \leq \frac{\max \left\{U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10}, 0\right\}}{U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{1, a t}\right\}}$. For positive values of $\pi_{a t}$ and $\pi_{n t}, " \leq$ " holds when $U^{0, n t} \geq \bar{Y}^{10}$. Thus, $\left[y^{u}-\max \left\{0, U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right\}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{0 \mid 1}}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)\right]-\bar{Y}^{10}=$ $y^{u}-U^{0, n t}+\bar{Y}^{10}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{0 \mid 1}}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)-\bar{Y}^{10} \geq 0$. Therefore, when $\theta^{1} \leq 0$, $\forall \tau^{1} \in\left[0, U B_{a}^{1}\right]$ in Proposition 2, there exist distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ defined in equations (25) and (26), where $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, y^{u}-\max \left\{0, U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right\}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{0 \mid 1}}\left(U^{1, a t}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)\right]$, and $\Delta(1)=\tau^{1}$ evaluated under these distributions.

Similarly, for the bounds on $\Delta(0)$ in Proposition 2, the proof is completed based on the two values of $U B^{0}, U B_{a}^{0}$ and $U B_{b}^{0}$. When deriving these bounds in the Internet Appendix, we
obtain
$U B_{a}^{0}-U B_{b}^{0}=U B_{\beta}^{0}-U B_{\gamma}^{0}=p_{1 \mid 0} \min \left\{L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\}+p_{0 \mid 1} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}, U^{0, n t}-L^{0, n t}\right\}$.
Let us denote this difference as $\theta^{0}$. When $\theta^{0} \geq 0, U B^{0}=U B_{b}^{0}$, otherwise $U B^{0}=U B_{a}^{0}$. In the followings, we first show that when $\theta^{0} \geq 0, \forall \tau^{0} \in\left[0, U B_{b}^{0}\right]$ in Proposition 2, there exist distributions consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 5, and $\Delta(0)=\tau^{0}$ evaluated under such distributions. According to equation (27), we redefine $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ in equations (28) and (29) by modifying the ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$. By the principal stratification under Assumptions 1 through 3, $q_{00}^{01}=E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$, and $q_{01}^{00}=\frac{\pi_{n t}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]+\frac{\pi_{c}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$. We have derived their bounds under Assumptions 4 and 5: $E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right], E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \in\left[L^{0, n t}, y^{u}\right]$, and $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \in\left[L^{0, c}, U^{1, c}\right]$. Thus, the ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$ under the current assumptions are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, c}, \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}\right]$. By equation (27), $L B^{0}=0$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}=\bar{Y}^{00}$. Rearranging the terms in $U B_{b}^{0}$ by equation (27), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{b}^{0} & =p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{u}+\left(p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}\right) U^{1, c}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00} \\
& =p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}+p_{0 \mid 0}\left(\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}\right)-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $U B_{b}^{0}$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=y^{l}$ and $q_{01}^{00}=\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$ are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}\right]$. Again, these defined ranges should be subsets of their corresponding ranges implied by the assumptions. For $q_{00}^{01}$, its defined range equals its range under the assumptions. For $q_{01}^{00}$, its defined range $\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}\right]$ is a subset of $\left[\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, c}, \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}\right]$ because $\bar{Y}^{00}=\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{0, n t}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, c} \geq \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, c}$. Then, we show that the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$ are valid. $y^{l} \leq \bar{Y}^{01}$ is implied by Assumption 4, while $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}$ is derived by $U^{0, n t} \leq y^{u}$ and $L^{0, c} \leq U^{1, c}$. Therefore, when $\theta^{0} \geq 0$, $\forall \tau^{0} \in\left[0, U B_{b}^{0}\right]$ in Proposition 2, there exist distributions $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ defined in equations (28) and (29), where $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}\right]$, and $\Delta(0)=\tau^{0}$ evaluated under these distributions. The next part of the sharpness proof of Proposition 2 is to show that when $\theta^{0} \leq 0$, $\forall \tau^{0} \in\left[0, U B_{a}^{0}\right]$, there exist distributions $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 5, and $\Delta(0)=\tau^{0}$ evaluated under these distributions. Since $U B_{a}^{0}$ is derived from equation (20), by expending the local net effects of
the different strata, we write $\Delta(0)$ as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(0)= & \pi_{a t}(E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t])+\pi_{n t}(E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t])+E[Y \mid Z=1] \\
& -E[Y \mid Z=0]-\pi_{a t}(E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(0) \mid a t])-\pi_{n t}(E[Y(1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t]) \\
& -\pi_{c}\left(E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]\right) \\
= & -\pi_{a t} E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]+\pi_{c} E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]+\pi_{n t} E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y \mid Z=0]  \tag{31}\\
& +2 \pi_{a t} E[Y(0) \mid a t] \\
= & 2 p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0} E[Y(0,0) \mid Z=0, D=1]+p_{0 \mid 0} E[Y(0,1) \mid Z=0, D=0] .
\end{align*}
$$

The last equality is implied by the principal stratification under Assumptions 1 through 3.
Equation (31) shows that for any value of $\Delta(0)$, it can be written as
$\Delta(0)=2 p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0} q_{00}^{01}+p_{0 \mid 0} q_{01}^{00}$. According to equations (27) and (31), we redefine $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ by modifying the ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$. By equation (27), $L B^{0}=0$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}=\bar{Y}^{00}$. Rearranging the terms in $U B_{a}^{0}$ according to equation (31), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{a}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{u}-L^{0, n t}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{1, a t}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}\right) \\
& -\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(U^{1, c}-U^{1, c}\right) \\
= & 2 p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-E[Y \mid Z=0]+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{u}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{1, c} \\
& -p_{1 \mid 0}\left(y^{l}+\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{01}-L^{1, a t}\right\}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right) \\
= & 2 p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-E[Y \mid Z=0]+p_{0 \mid 0}\left(\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}\right) \\
& -p_{1 \mid 0}\left[y^{l}+\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{01}-L^{1, a t}\right\}-\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 0}}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

$U B_{a}^{0}$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=y^{l}+\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{01}-L^{1, a t}\right\}-\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 0}}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right)$ and $q_{01}^{00}=\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$ are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[y^{l}+\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{01}-L^{1, a t}\right\}-\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 0}}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right), \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}\right]$. We have shown that the defined range of $q_{01}^{00}$,
$\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}\right]$, is a subset of $\left[\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, c}, \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}\right]$. To show the defined range of $q_{00}^{01}$ is a subset of $\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$, we have to show that $y^{l}+\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{01}-L^{1, a t}\right\}-\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 0}}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right) \geq y^{l}$. That is, $\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{01}-L^{1, a t}\right\} \geq \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 0}}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right)$. Here the condition $\theta^{0} \leq 0$ suffices to show this inequality, where $\theta^{0}=p_{1 \mid 0} \min \left\{L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}, 0\right\}+p_{0 \mid 1} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}-L^{0, n t}, U^{0, n t}-L^{0, n t}\right\}$. $\theta^{0} \leq 0$ implies $p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right) \leq p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{01}-L^{1, a t}\right\}$. The final step is to show that these defined ranges themselves are valid. The validity of the defined range of $q_{01}^{00}$ is
shown in the case of $\theta^{0} \geq 0$. To show the defined range of $q_{00}^{01}$ is also valid, we use the condition $\theta^{0} \leq 0$. Because $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-L^{0, n t} \geq 0$ and $\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{01}-L^{1, a t}\right\} \geq 0, \theta^{0} \leq 0$ implies $\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 0}} \leq \frac{\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{01}-L^{1, a t}\right\}}{\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{0}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-L^{0, n t}}$. For positive values of $\pi_{a t}$ and $\pi_{n t}, " \leq "$ holds when $\bar{Y}^{01} \geq L^{1, a t}$. Thus, $\left[y^{l}+\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{01}-L^{1, a t}\right\}-\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 0}}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right)\right]-\bar{Y}^{01}=$ $y^{l}+\bar{Y}^{01}-L^{1, a t}-\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 0}}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right)-\bar{Y}^{01} \leq 0$. Therefore, when $\theta^{0} \leq 0$, $\forall \tau^{0} \in\left[0, U B_{a}^{0}\right]$ in Proposition 2, there exist distributions $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ defined in equations (28) and (29), where $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[y^{l}+\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{01}-L^{1, a t}\right\}-\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 0}}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-L^{0, n t}\right), \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} U^{1, c}\right]$, and $\Delta(0)=\tau^{0}$ evaluated under these distributions.

Bounds on $A T T$. For the bounds on the $A T T$, the proof is completed based on the two values of $u b, u b_{a}$ and $u b_{b}$. When deriving these bounds in the Internet Appendix, we have: if $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq U^{0, n t}, u b_{b}=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\gamma}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{10}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right) ;$ otherwise, $u b_{a}=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\beta}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)$. Thus, we first show that when $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq U^{0, n t}, \forall \rho \in\left[0, u b_{b}\right]$, there exist distributions consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 5, and $A T T=\rho$ evaluated under such distributions. According to equation (12), we redefine $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ in equations (25) and (28) by modifying the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{00}^{01}$. As above, we have derived the ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11}$ under the Assumptions 1 through 5, which are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, c}\right]$. By equation (12), $l b=0$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11}=\bar{Y}^{11}$. Rearranging the terms in $u b_{b}$ according to equation (12), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u b_{b} & =\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\gamma}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right) \\
& =\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{0, c}\right)+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right) \\
& =E[Y \mid D=1]-\frac{w_{1} p_{1 \mid 1}}{r_{1}}\left(\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}\right)-\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}} y^{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $u b_{b}$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=y^{l}$ and $q_{10}^{11}=\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11}$ are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$. Again, these defined ranges should be subsets of their corresponding ranges implied by the assumptions. For $q_{00}^{01}$, the defined range equals its range under the assumptions. For $q_{10}^{11}$, its defined range $\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ is a subset of $\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, c}\right]$ because $\bar{Y}^{11}=\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{1, c} \leq \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, c}$. Then, we show that the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11}$ are valid. $y^{l} \leq \bar{Y}^{01}$ is implied by Assumption 4, while $\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$ is derived by $y^{l} \leq L^{1, a t}$ and $L^{0, c} \leq U^{1, c}$. Therefore, when $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq U^{0, n t}, \forall \rho \in\left[0, u b_{b}\right]$, there exist distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ defined in equations (25) and (28), where $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$,
and $A T T=\rho$ evaluated under these distributions.
The last part of the sharpness proof of Proposition 2 is to show that when $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq U^{0, n t}$, $\forall \rho \in\left[0, u b_{a}\right]$, there exist distributions $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 5 , and $A T T=\rho$ evaluated under these distributions. Since $u b_{a}$ is derived by expanding equation (14), we write $A T T$ as:

$$
\begin{align*}
A T T & =\frac{w_{1} p_{1 \mid 1}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-E[Y(1,0) \mid Z=1, D=1]\right)+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-E[Y(0,0) \mid Z=0, D=1]\right) \\
& =\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(E[Y \mid Z=1]-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 1} q_{10}^{11}\right)+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-q_{00}^{01}\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

The last equality is implied by $p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}+p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}=E[Y \mid Z=1]$. Equation (32) shows that for any value of $A T T$, it can be written as a function of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11}$. According to equation (32), we redefine $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ by modifying the ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11} . l b=0$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11}=\bar{Y}^{11}$. Rearranging the terms in $u b_{a}$ according to equation (32), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u b_{a} & =\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\beta}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right) \\
& =\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]\right)+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right) \\
& =\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}}\left[E[Y \mid Z=1]-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}\left(p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}+p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}\right)\right]+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $u b_{a}$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=y^{l}$ and $q_{10}^{11}=\frac{p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}+p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}_{1 \mid 1}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11}$ are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\frac{p_{10} y^{l}+p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$. Again, these defined ranges should be subsets of their corresponding ranges implied by the assumptions. For $q_{00}^{01}$, its defined range equals its range under the assumptions. For $q_{10}^{11}$, we have to show that its defined range $\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}+p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ is a subset of $\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, c}\right]$. Since we have shown that $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, c}$, we only need to show that $\frac{p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}+p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \geq \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{0, c}$, that is, $p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10} \geq\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{0, c}$, which is equivalent to show $\pi_{n t}\left(U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right) \geq 0$. The last inequality is satisfied by the condition $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq U^{0, n t}$. Then, we have to show that the defined range of $q_{10}^{11}$ is valid, i.e., $\frac{p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}+p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0| |} \bar{Y}^{10}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$, which is equivalent to show that
$p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}+p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11} \leq 0$.
$L H S=\pi_{a t}\left(y^{l}-E[Y(1) \mid a t]\right)+\pi_{n t}(E[Y(0) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t])+\pi_{c}(E[Y(0) \mid c]-E[Y(1) \mid c]) \leq 0$ by the assumptions. Therefore, when $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq U^{0, n t}, \forall \rho \in\left[0, u b_{a}\right]$, there exist distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ defined in equations (25) and (28), where
$q_{00}^{01} \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\frac{p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}+p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}_{1 \mid}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$, and $A T T=\rho$ evaluated under these distributions. Q.D.E.

### 5.3.3 Proof of Proposition 3

Bounds on $\Delta(z)$. We start by showing that $\forall \tau^{1} \in\left[L B^{1}, U B^{1}\right]$ in Proposition 3, there exist distributions consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 4, and 6, and $\Delta(1)=\tau^{1}$ evaluated under such distributions. According to equation (24), we redefine $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ in equations (25) and (26) by modifying the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ according to the values of $L B^{1}$ and $U B^{1}$ in Proposition 3. As we have mentioned before, under Assumptions 1 through $3 q_{10}^{11}=\frac{\pi_{a t}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]+\frac{\pi_{c}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$, and $q_{11}^{10}=E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]$. When deriving the bounds in Proposition 3, we have shown that Assumptions 4 and 6 imply $E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, y^{u}\right], E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, a t}\right]$, and $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$. Thus, the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ are $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$. Again, the ranges for defining $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ should be subsets of the above ranges. By equation (24), we rearrange the terms in $L B^{1}$ and $U B^{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B^{1} & =p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{u}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{1, a t}+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{l}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10} \\
& =p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 1}\left(\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{l}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10} \\
U B^{1} & =p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{10}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \bar{Y}^{10}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10} \\
& =p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$L B^{1}$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{| | 1}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}$ and $q_{11}^{10}=y^{l}$, while $U B^{1}$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=\bar{Y}^{10}$ and $q_{11}^{10}=\bar{Y}^{11}$. Thus, the defined ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ are $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$. It turns out that the defined ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ equal their respective ranges implied by the assumptions. Therefore, $\forall \tau^{1} \in\left[L B^{1}, U B^{1}\right]$ in Proposition 3, there exist distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ defined in equations (25) and (26), where $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$, and $\Delta(1)=\tau^{1}$ evaluated under these distributions.
We now show that $\forall \tau^{0} \in\left[L B^{0}, U B^{0}\right]$ in Proposition 3, there exist distributions consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 4 and 6 , and $\Delta(0)=\tau^{0}$ evaluated under such distributions. According to equation (27), we redefine $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ in equations (28) and (29) by modifying the ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$ according to the values of $L B^{0}$ and $U B^{0}$. We have shown that under Assumptions 1 through $3, q_{00}^{01}=E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$, and $q_{01}^{00}=\frac{\pi_{n t}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]+\frac{\pi_{c}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$ and that Assumptions 4 and 6 imply: $E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, y^{u}\right], E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \in\left[y^{l}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$, and
$E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \in\left[L^{0, n t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$. Thus, the ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$ under the current assumptions are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, y^{u}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$. Again, the ranges for defining $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ should be subsets of the above ranges. By equation (27), we rearrange the terms in $L B^{0}$ and $U B^{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B^{0} & =p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{u}+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{l}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{0, n t}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00} \\
& =p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{u}+p_{0 \mid 0}\left(\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}\right)-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00} \\
U B^{0} & =p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{01}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00} \\
& =p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$L B^{0}$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=y^{u}$ and $q_{01}^{00}=\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}$, while $U B^{0}$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{00}$ and $q_{01}^{00}=\bar{Y}^{01}$. Thus, the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$ are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, y^{u}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$ equal their respective ranges under the current assumptions. Therefore, $\forall \tau^{0} \in\left[L B^{0}, U B^{0}\right]$ in Proposition 3, there exist distributions $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ defined in equations (28) and (29), where $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, y^{u}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} y^{l}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$, and $\Delta(0)=\tau^{0}$ evaluated under these distributions.

Bounds on $A T T$. By equation (12), we redefine the distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ defined in equations (25) and (28) by modifying the range of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{00}^{01}$. We have derived the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{00}^{01}$ under Assumptions 4 and 6: $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, y^{u}\right]$ and $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}\right]$. By equation (12), rearranging the terms in $l b$ and $u b$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
l b & =\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{u}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{1, a t}\right)+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{u}\right) \\
& =\frac{w_{1} p_{1 \mid 1}}{r_{1}}\left[\bar{Y}^{11}-\left(\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}\right)\right]+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{u}\right) \\
u b & =\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{10}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
& =\frac{w_{1} p_{1 \mid 1}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $l b$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=y^{u}$ and $q_{10}^{11}=\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}$, while $u b$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{00}$ and $q_{10}^{11}=\bar{Y}^{10}$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11}$ are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, y^{u}\right]$ and $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}\right]$. These defined ranges equal their ranges under the assumptions. Therefore, there exist distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ defined in equations (25) and (28), where $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, y^{u}\right]$ and $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} y^{u}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}\right]$, and $A T T=\rho$ evaluated under these distributions. Q.D.E.

### 5.3.4 Proof of Proposition 4

Bounds on $\Delta(z)$. Similar to the proof of Proposition 2, since the upper bounds in Proposition 4 involve $\mathrm{min} / \max$ operators, the sharpness proof is completed conditional on the specific values of the upper bounds. First, we derive the difference between the two values of the upper bound on $\Delta(1)$ in Proposition 4.
$U B_{a}^{1}-U B_{b}^{1}=p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-E[Y \mid Z=0]+p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)+p_{1 \mid 1} \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$.
We denote this difference as $\theta^{1}$. When $\theta^{1} \geq 0, U B^{1}=U B_{b}^{1}$, otherwise $U B^{1}=U B_{a}^{1}$.
We start by showing that when $\theta^{1} \geq 0, \forall \tau^{1} \in\left[0, U B_{b}^{1}\right]$ in Proposition 4 , there exist
distributions consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 3,5 and 6 , and $\Delta(1)=\tau^{1}$ evaluated under such distributions. According to equation (24), we redefine $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ in equations (25) and (26) by modifying the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ according to the values 0 and $U B_{b}^{1}$ in Proposition 4 . We have shown the current assumptions imply $E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \in\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}, U^{1, a t}\right]$, $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \in\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$, and $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$. Thus, the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ under the assumptions are $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$. By equation $(24), L B^{1}=0$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=\bar{Y}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}=\bar{Y}^{10}$. Rearrange the terms in $U B_{b}^{1}$ by equation (24), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{b}^{1} & =p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10} \\
& =p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 1} \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $U B_{b}^{1}$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$ and $q_{11}^{10}=\bar{Y}^{11}$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ are $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$. For $q_{10}^{11}$, its defined range $\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ is a subset of its range implied by the assumptions because $\bar{Y}^{11}=\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \bar{Y}^{11}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \bar{Y}^{11} \leq \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \bar{Y}^{11}$. For $q_{11}^{10}$, its defined range $\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ equals its range implied by the assumptions. At last, it is straightforward to show that the defined ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ are valid by employing Assumptions 5 and 6 . Therefore, when $\theta^{1} \geq 0, \forall \tau^{1} \in\left[0, U B_{b}^{1}\right]$ in Proposition 4 , there exist distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ defined in equations (25) and (26), where $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$, and $\Delta(1)=\tau^{1}$ evaluated under these distributions.
Now we show that when $\theta^{1} \leq 0, \forall \tau^{1} \in\left[0, U B_{a}^{1}\right]$ in Proposition 4 , there exist distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ that are consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 3,5 and 6 , and $\Delta(1)=\tau^{1}$ evaluated under these distributions.
According to equations (24) and (30), we redefine $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ by modifying the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ according to the values 0 and $U B_{a}^{1}$. By equation (24), $L B^{1}=0$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=\bar{Y}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}=\bar{Y}^{10}$. Rearranging the terms in $U B_{a}^{1}$ according
to equation (30), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{a}^{1}= & \bar{Y}^{11}-E[Y \mid Z=0]+p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right) \\
= & E[Y \mid Z=1]-2 p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& +p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11} \\
= & E[Y \mid Z=1]-2 p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 1}\left(\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\frac{\theta^{1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

$U B_{a}^{1}$ is achieved when $q_{10}^{11}=\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\frac{\theta^{1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}$, where
$\theta^{1}=p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-E[Y \mid Z=0]+p_{1 \mid 0}\left(U^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)+p_{1 \mid 1} \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \leq 0$, and $q_{11}^{10}=\bar{Y}^{11}$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{11}^{10}$ are $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\frac{\theta^{1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$. These defined ranges should be subsets of their ranges under the assumptions derived in the last paragraph. Clearly, the defined range of $q_{11}^{10}$ equals its range implied by the assumptions. For $q_{10}^{11}$, to show $\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\frac{\theta^{1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ is a subset of $\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$, we have to show that $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\frac{\theta^{1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \geq \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$ and $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \bar{Y}^{11}$. The $" \geq$ " holds for $\theta^{1} \leq 0$ and the " $\leq$ " holds for $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq U^{1, a t}$. Finally, we show that the defined range of $q_{10}^{11}$ itself is valid. That is, $\bar{Y}^{11} \geq \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\frac{\theta^{1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}$, which is equivalent to show $p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 1} \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}+\theta^{1} \geq 0 . L H S=\pi_{a t}\left(E[Y(1) \mid a t]-\bar{Y}^{00}+U^{1, a t}-\right.$ $\left.\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)+\pi_{c}(E[Y(1) \mid c]-E[Y(0) \mid c])+\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-E[Y(0) \mid n t]\right) \geq 0$ by the assumptions. Thus, the defined range of $q_{10}^{11}$ itself is valid. Therefore, when $\theta^{1} \leq 0, \forall \tau^{1} \in\left[0, U B_{a}^{1}\right]$ in Proposition 4, there exist distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{11} \mid 1, d\right)$ defined in equations (25) and (26), where $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\frac{\theta^{1}}{p_{1 \mid}}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ and $q_{11}^{10} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$, and $\Delta(1)=\tau^{1}$ evaluated under these distributions.

Now we show the case of $\Delta(0)$ in Proposition 4. We derive the difference between the two values of the upper bound.
$U B_{a}^{0}-U B_{b}^{0}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(L^{0, n t}+\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}$.
Let us denote this difference as $\theta^{0}$. When $\theta^{0} \geq 0, U B^{0}=U B_{b}^{0}$, otherwise $U B^{0}=U B_{a}^{0}$. In the following of the proof, we first show that when $\theta^{0} \geq 0, \forall \tau^{0} \in\left[0, U B_{b}^{0}\right]$ in Proposition 4, there exist distributions consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 3,5 and 6 , and $\Delta(0)=\tau^{0}$ evaluated under such distributions. According to equation (27), we redefine $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ in equations (28) and (29) by modifying the ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$. When deriving the bounds in Proposition 4, we have shown:
$E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right], E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \in\left[L^{0, n t}, \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right]$, and $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right]$. Thus, the ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$ under the current assumptions are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} \bar{Y}^{00}, \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right]$. By
equation (27), $L B^{0}=0$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}=\bar{Y}^{00}$. Rearranging the terms in $U B_{b}^{0}$ by equation (27), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{b}^{0} & =p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}+\left(p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}\right) \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00} \\
& =p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 0} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $U B_{b}^{0}$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{00}$ and $q_{01}^{00}=\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$ are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right]$. For $q_{00}^{01}$, its defined range equals its range implied by the assumptions. For $q_{01}^{00}$, its defined range $\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right]$ is a subset of $\left[\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} \bar{Y}^{00}, \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right]$ because $\bar{Y}^{00}=\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} \bar{Y}^{00}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} \bar{Y}^{00} \geq \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} \bar{Y}^{00}$. Combination of Assumptions 5 and 6 suffices to show the validity of the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$. Therefore, when $\theta^{0} \geq 0$, $\forall \tau^{0} \in\left[0, U B_{b}^{0}\right]$ in Proposition 4, there exist distributions $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ defined in equations (28) and (29), where $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right]$, and $\Delta(0)=\tau^{0}$ evaluated under these distributions.
The next part of the sharpness proof of Proposition 4 is to show that when $\theta^{0} \leq 0$, $\forall \tau^{0} \in\left[0, U B_{a}^{0}\right]$, there exist distributions $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 3,5 and 6 , and $\Delta(0)=\tau^{0}$ evaluated under these distributions. According to equations (27) and (31), we redefine $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ by modifying the ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$. By equation (27), $L B^{0}=0$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}=\bar{Y}^{00}$. Rearranging the terms in $U B_{a}^{0}$ according to equation (31), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{a}^{0}= & E[Y \mid Z=1]-\bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(L^{0, n t}+\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right) \\
= & 2 p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+E[Y \mid Z=1] \\
& -p_{0 \mid 1}\left(L^{0, n t}+\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01} \\
= & 2 p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 0}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}+\frac{\theta^{0}}{p_{0 \mid 0}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$U B_{a}^{0}$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{00}$ and $q_{01}^{00}=\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}+\frac{\theta^{0}}{p_{0 \mid 0}}$, where
$\theta^{0}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(L^{0, n t}+\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)-p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \leq 0$.
Thus, the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{01}^{00}$ are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}+\frac{\theta^{0}}{p_{0 \mid 0}}\right]$. These defined ranges should be subsets of their respective ranges under the assumptions derived in the previous paragraph. Clearly, the defined range of $q_{00}^{01}$ equals its range implied by the assumptions. For $q_{01}^{00}$, to show $\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}+\frac{\theta^{0}}{p_{0 \mid 0}}\right]$ is a subset of $\left[\frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} \bar{Y}^{00}, \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right]$, we have to show that $\bar{Y}^{00} \geq \frac{p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} L^{0, n t}+\frac{p_{0 \mid 0}-p_{0| |}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} \bar{Y}^{00}$ and $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}+\frac{\theta^{0}}{p_{0 \mid 0}} \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}$. The " $\geq$ " holds for $\bar{Y}^{00} \geq L^{0, n t}$ and the " $\leq$ " holds for $\theta^{0} \leq 0$. Finally, we show that the defined range of $q_{01}^{00}$ itself
is valid. That is, $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}+\frac{\theta^{0}}{p_{0 \mid 0}}$, which is equivalent to show $p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 0} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\theta^{0} \leq 0 . L H S=\pi_{n t}\left(E[Y(0) \mid n t]-\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}+L^{0, n t}-\right.$ $\left.\bar{Y}^{11}\right)+p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-E[Y(1) \mid a t]\right)+\pi_{c}(E[Y(0) \mid c]-E[Y(1) \mid c]) \leq 0$ by the assumptions. Thus, the defined range of $q_{01}^{00}$ itself is valid. Therefore, when $\theta^{0} \leq 0, \forall \tau^{0} \in\left[0, U B_{a}^{0}\right]$ in Proposition 4, there exist distributions $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0, d\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,1) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{01} \mid 0, d\right)$ defined in equations (28) and (29), where $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{01}^{00} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}+\frac{\theta^{0}}{p_{0 \mid 0}}\right]$, and $\Delta(0)=\tau^{0}$ evaluated under these distributions.

Bounds on $A T T$. For the bounds on the $A T T$, the proof is completed based on the two values of $u b, u b_{a}$ and $u b_{b}$. When deriving these bounds in the Internet Appendix, we have: if $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}, u b=u b_{b}=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\gamma}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)$, otherwise $u b=u b_{a}=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\beta}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{10}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)$. Thus, we first show that when $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$, $\forall \rho \in\left[0, u b_{b}\right]$, there exist distributions consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 6, and $A T T=\rho$ evaluated under such distributions.
According to equation (12), we redefine $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ in equations (25) and (28) by modifying the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{00}^{01}$. We have derived the ranges of $q_{10}^{11}$ and $q_{00}^{01}$ under the combined assumptions: $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ and $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$. By equation (12), $l b=0$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11}=\bar{Y}^{11}$.
Rearranging the terms in $u b_{b}$ according to equation (12), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u b_{b} & =\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
& =E[Y \mid D=1]-\frac{w_{1} p_{1 \mid 1}}{r_{1}} \bar{Y}^{10}-\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}} \bar{Y}^{00}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $u b_{b}$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{00}$ and $q_{10}^{11}=\bar{Y}^{10}$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11}$ are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$. For $q_{00}^{01}$, the defined range equals its range under the assumptions. For $q_{10}^{11}$, we have to show that its defined range $\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right.$ ] is a subset of $\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0| |}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$. When $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{10} \geq \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}$, and $\bar{Y}^{11}=\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} L^{1, c} \leq \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1| |}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \bar{Y}^{11}$. Then, we show that the defined range of $q_{10}^{11}$ is valid. $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$ is implied by Assumption 6.2. Therefore, when $\bar{Y}^{10} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$, $\forall \rho \in\left[0, u b_{b}\right]$, there exist distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ defined in equations (25) and (28), where $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$, and $A T T=\rho$ evaluated under these distributions.
The last part of the sharpness proof of Proposition 4 is to show that when $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$, $\forall \rho \in\left[0, u b_{a}\right]$, there exist distributions $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ consistent with the observed data and Assumptions 1 through 6, and $A T T=\rho$ evaluated under these distributions. According to equation (32), we redefine $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ and $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ by modifying the ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11} \cdot l b=0$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{01}$
and $q_{10}^{11}=\bar{Y}^{11}$. Rearranging the terms in $u b_{a}$ according to equation (32), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u b_{a} & =\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(E[Y \mid Z=1]-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
& =\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}}\left[E[Y \mid Z=1]-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}\right)\right]+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $u b_{a}$ is achieved when $q_{00}^{01}=\bar{Y}^{00}$ and $q_{10}^{11}=\frac{\bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}$. As a result, the defined ranges of $q_{00}^{01}$ and $q_{10}^{11}$ are $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\frac{\bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$. Again, these defined ranges should be subsets of their corresponding ranges implied by the assumptions. For $q_{00}^{01}$, its defined range equals its range under the assumptions. For $q_{10}^{11}$, we have to show that its defined range $\left[\frac{\bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ is a subset of $\left[\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}, \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$ when $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Since we have shown that $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq \frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, a t}+\frac{p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{0 \mid 1}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} U^{1, c}$, we only need to show that $\frac{\bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \geq \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}=\bar{Y}^{00}$, that is, $\bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10} \geq p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{00}$, which is equivalent to show $\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right) \geq 0$. The last inequality is satisfied by the condition $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Then, we have to show that the defined range $q_{10}^{11}$ is valid, i.e., $\frac{\bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0| |} \bar{Y}^{10}}{p_{1 \mid 1}} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$, which is equivalent to show that $\bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11} \leq 0$.
$L H S=\pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-E[Y(1) \mid a t]\right)+\pi_{n t}(E[Y(0) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t])+\pi_{c}(E[Y(0) \mid c]-E[Y(1) \mid c]) \leq 0$ by Assumptions 5.2 and 6.2. Therefore, when $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq \bar{Y}^{00}, \forall \rho \in\left[0, u b_{a}\right]$, there exist distributions $F_{Y(1,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{10} \mid 1,1\right)$ and $F_{Y(0,0) \mid Z, D}\left(y_{00} \mid 0,1\right)$ defined in equations (25) and (28), where $q_{00}^{01} \in\left[\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right]$ and $q_{10}^{11} \in\left[\frac{\bar{Y}^{00}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right]$, and $A T T=\rho$ evaluated under these distributions. Q.D.E.

### 5.4 Bounds under the Reversed Weak Monotonicity across Strata

Assumption 6'. (Weak Monotonicity of Mean Potential Outcomes Across Strata).
6.1' $E[Y(z) \mid a t] \leq E\left[Y\left(z, D_{1-z}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(z) \mid n t] ;$
6.2' $E[Y(z) \mid a t] \leq E[Y(z) \mid c] \leq E[Y(z) \mid n t] ;$
6.3' $E[Y(z, 0) \mid a t] \leq E\left[Y\left(z, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right], E\left[Y\left(z, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(z, 1) \mid n t]$, where $z=0,1$.

### 5.4.1 Bounds on $A T E$ and $A T T$ under the Assumption 6'

Proposition 3' If Assumptions 1 through 4, and 6' hold, then the bounds
$L B^{0} \leq \Delta(0) \leq U B^{0}$ and $L B^{1} \leq \Delta(1) \leq U B^{1}$ are sharp. And for $z=0,1$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(Z=0) L B^{0}+\operatorname{Pr}(Z=1) L B^{1} \leq E[\Delta(z)] \leq \operatorname{Pr}(Z=0) U B^{0}+\operatorname{Pr}(Z=1) U B^{1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B^{0} & =\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00} \\
L B^{1} & =\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10} ; \\
U B^{0} & =p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{u}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{0, n t} \\
U B^{1} & =p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{1, a t} \\
& L^{1, a t}=E\left[Y \mid Z=1, D=1, Y \leq y_{\left(p_{1 \mid 0} / p_{1 \mid 1}\right)}^{11}\right] \\
& U^{0, n t}=E\left[Y \mid Z=0, D=0, Y \geq y_{1-\left(p_{0 \mid 1} / p_{0 \mid 0}\right)}^{00}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. See the next subsection.

Proposition 4'. If Assumptions 1 through 3, 5 and 6' hold, then
$0 \leq \Delta(0) \leq \min \left\{U B_{a}^{0}, U B_{b}^{0}\right\}$ and $0 \leq \Delta(1) \leq \min \left\{U B_{a}^{1}, U B_{b}^{1}\right\}$ are sharp. And for $z=0,1$,

$$
0 \leq E[\Delta(z)] \leq \operatorname{Pr}(Z=0) \min \left\{U B_{a}^{0}, U B_{b}^{0}\right\}+\operatorname{Pr}(Z=1) \min \left\{U B_{a}^{1}, U B_{b}^{1}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{a}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}-\max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& +p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{00}-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}\right)-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-U^{0, n t}\right\} \\
U B_{b}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{u}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}, U^{1, c}\right\} \\
U B_{a}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-y^{l}-\max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& +p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}-\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}\right)-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \\
U B_{b}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\} \\
& \\
L^{1, a t}= & E\left[Y \mid Z=1, D=1, Y \leq y_{\left(p_{1 \mid 0}^{11} p_{1| |}\right)}^{11}\right] \\
U^{0, n t}= & E\left[Y \mid Z=0, D=0, Y \geq y_{1-\left(p_{0 \mid 1} / p_{0 \mid 0}\right)}^{00}\right] \\
L^{0, c}= & E\left[Y \mid Z=0, D=0, Y \leq y_{1-\left(p_{0 \mid 1} / p_{0 \mid 0}\right)}^{00}\right] \\
U^{1, c}= & E\left[Y \mid Z=1, D=1, Y \geq y_{\left.p_{1 \mid 0}^{00} p_{1 \mid 1}\right)}=\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. See the next subsection.

Under the assumptions in Proposition 3' (A6'), the bounds $l b \leq A T T \leq u b$ are sharp, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
l b & =E[Y \mid D=1]-\frac{w_{1} p_{1 \mid 1}}{r_{1}} \bar{Y}^{10}-\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}} \bar{Y}^{00} \\
u b & =E[Y \mid D=1]-\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{1, a t}-\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}} y^{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Under the assumptions in Proposition 4' (A5 \& A6'), the bounds $0 \leq A T T \leq \min \left\{u b_{a}, u b_{b}\right\}$ are sharp, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
u b_{a}= & E[Y \mid D=1]-\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}} y^{l}+\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}}\left[p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}\right] \\
u b_{b}= & E[Y \mid D=1]-\frac{p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}} y^{l}-\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}}\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.4.2 Proof of Proposition 3'

As before, we first derive bounds for the non-point identified mean potential outcomes of the strata, and for all the local net and mechanism average treatment effects.
Bounds for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ : A6.2' implies $\bar{Y}^{01}=E[Y(0) \mid a t] \leq E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. A6.2' and the equation $\pi_{n t} E[Y(0) \mid n t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(0) \mid c]=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$ imply $E[Y(0) \mid n t] \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Since $\bar{Y}^{00} \geq \bar{Y}^{01}$ by A6.2', the lower bound is $\bar{Y}^{00}$. A6' does not provide any additional information for an upper bound of $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Thus, $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq U^{0, n t}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ : A6.2' implies $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}$. A6.2' and the equation $\pi_{a t} E[Y(1) \mid a t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(1) \mid c]=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$ yield $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$. Since $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq \bar{Y}^{10}$ by A6.2', the upper bound is $\bar{Y}^{11}$. A6' does not provide any additional information for a lower bound of $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$. Thus, $L^{1, a t} \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ : A6.2' and the equation $\pi_{n t} E[Y(0) \mid n t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(0) \mid c]=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$ yield $E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$. As for the lower bound, A6.2' implies $E[Y(0) \mid c] \geq E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$, which can be greater or less than $L^{0, c}$. Thus, $\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \leq E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ : A6.2' and the equation $\pi_{a t} E[Y(1) \mid a t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(1) \mid c]=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$ yield $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq \bar{Y}^{11}$. As for the upper bound, A6.2' implies $E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}$, which can be greater or less than $U^{1, c}$. Thus, $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq \min \left\{U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}$.
Bounds for $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$ : A6.1' implies $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}$. Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ yields
$E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq L^{1, a t}$. Hence, $L^{1, a t} \leq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \leq \bar{Y}^{10}$.
Bounds for $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$ : A6.1' implies $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$. Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ yields
$E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq U^{0, n t}$. Hence, $\bar{Y}^{01} \leq E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq U^{0, n t}$.
Bounds for LNATE Ent , for $z=0,1$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{n t}^{z}=\bar{Y}^{10}-E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]: \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t} \leq L N A T E_{n t}^{z} \leq \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}$, for $z=0,1$. Bounds for LNATE $E_{a t}^{z}$, for $z=0$, 1: From (23), LNATE $E_{a t}^{z}=E[Y(1) \mid a t]-\bar{Y}^{01}$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]: L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01} \leq L N A T E_{a t}^{z} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}$, for $z=0,1$. Bounds for $L N A T E_{c}^{0}$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{c}^{0}=E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c]$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components in $L N A T E_{c}^{0}$, we obtain $L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00} \leq L N A T E E_{c}^{0} \leq \bar{Y}^{10}-\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}$.

Bounds for $L N A T E_{c}^{1}$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{c}^{1}=E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$. Using the bounds previously derived for the components of $L N A T E_{c}^{1}$, we have
$\bar{Y}^{11}-U^{0, n t} \leq L N A T E_{c}^{1} \leq \min \left\{U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{01}$.
Bounds for $L M A T E_{c}^{1}$ : LMATE $E_{c}^{1}=E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$. Using the bounds previously derived for the components of $L M A T E_{c}^{1}$, we have
$\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10} \leq L M A T E_{c}^{1} \leq \min \left\{U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-L^{1, a t}$.
Bounds for $L M A T E_{c}^{0}$ : LMATE $E_{c}^{0}=E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c]$. Using the bounds previously derived for the components of $L M A T E_{c}^{0}$, we have
$\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00} \leq L M A T E_{c}^{0} \leq U^{0, n t}-\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]$ : A4 and A6.3' imply $E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \leq y^{u}$. Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ yields $\bar{Y}^{11} \leq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \leq y^{u}$. And thus $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t]$ in (16) and (17) has the following bounds
$\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10} \leq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t] \leq y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]$ : A4 and A6.3' imply $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \leq y^{u}$.
Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$ yields
$\bar{Y}^{01} \leq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \leq y^{u}$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$, we have
$E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ in (19) and (20) has the following bounds
$\bar{Y}^{01}-U^{0, n t} \leq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{00}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$ : A4 and A6.3' imply $y^{l} \leq E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \leq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$.
Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$ yields
$y^{l} \leq E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{10}$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$, we have
$E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$ in (16) and (17) has the following bounds
$L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{10} \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}-y^{l}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$ : A4 and A6.3' imply $y^{l} \leq E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \leq E[Y(0) \mid c]$. Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ yields $y^{l} \leq E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$. And thus $E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$ in $(19)$ and $(20)$ has the following bounds $\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00} \leq E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}$.

Bounds on $\Delta(z)$. We now derive the lower bound of $\Delta(1)$ by the use of the equations (16) through (18). The corresponding three potential lower bounds are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B_{\alpha}^{1}= & \pi_{a t}\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right) \\
L B_{\beta}^{1}= & \pi_{a t}\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -\pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)-\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right) \\
L B_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-\pi_{a t} \bar{Y}^{10}+\pi_{n t} \bar{Y}^{11}-\pi_{c} \bar{Y}^{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

After some algebra, we have $L B_{\beta}^{1}-L B_{\alpha}^{1}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-$ $p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)=\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \leq 0$.

Hence, $L B_{\alpha}^{1}$ dominates $L B_{\beta}^{1}$. $L B_{\gamma}^{1}-L B_{\alpha}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-L^{1, a t}\right) \geq 0$. Hence, $L B_{\gamma}^{1}$ dominates $L B_{\alpha}^{1}$. Therefore, the lower bound for $\Delta(1)=L B_{\gamma}^{1}=\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}$.
Similarly, we use equations (19) through (21) to derive potential lower bounds for $\Delta(0)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B_{\alpha}^{0}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-U^{0, n t}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
L B_{\beta}^{0}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-U^{0, n t}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -\pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)-\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right) \\
L B_{\gamma}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-\pi_{a t} \bar{Y}^{00}+\pi_{n t} \bar{Y}^{01}+\pi_{c} \bar{Y}^{01}
\end{aligned}
$$

After some algebra, we have $L B_{\beta}^{0}-L B_{\alpha}^{0}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-$ $p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-\min \left\{U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}\right)=\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\min \left\{U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}\right) \leq 0$. Hence, $L B_{\alpha}^{0}$ dominates $L B_{\beta}^{0}$. $L B_{\gamma}^{0}-L B_{\alpha}^{0}=p_{0 \mid 1}\left(U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \geq 0$. Hence, $L B_{\gamma}^{0}$ dominates $L B_{\alpha}^{0}$. Therefore, the lower bound for $\Delta(0)=L B_{\gamma}^{0}=\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}$.
We now use equations (16) through (18) to derive potential upper bounds for $\Delta(1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{\alpha}^{1}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-y^{l}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-L^{1, a t}\right) \\
U B_{\beta}^{1}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-y^{l}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -\pi_{a t}\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right)-\pi_{c}\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
U B_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-\pi_{a t} y^{l}+\pi_{n t} y^{u}-\pi_{c} L^{1, a t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$U B_{\beta}^{1}-U B_{\alpha}^{1}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-\right.$ $\left.p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-\min \left\{U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}\right)=\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\bar{Y}^{00}-L^{0, c}+U^{1, c}-\min \left\{U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}\right) \geq 0 . U B_{\alpha}^{1}$ dominates $U B_{\beta}^{1}$. $U B_{\gamma}^{1}-U B_{a}^{1}=\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\min \left\{U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}\right) \leq 0$. Thus, $U B_{\gamma}^{1}$ dominates $U B_{a}^{1}$. Therefore, the upper bound for
$\Delta(1)=U B_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{1, a t}$.
We now use equations (19) through (21) to derive potential upper bounds for $\Delta(0)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{\alpha}^{0}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(U^{0, n t}-\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right) \\
U B_{\beta}^{0}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -\pi_{a t}\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right)-\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-U^{0, n t}\right) \\
U B_{\gamma}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-\pi_{a t} y^{l}+\pi_{n t} y^{u}+\pi_{c} U^{0, n t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$U B_{\beta}^{0}-U B_{\alpha}^{0}=E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-p_{1 \mid 0}\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right)-p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-\right.$ $\left.p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)=\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-L^{0, c}+U^{1, c}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right) \geq 0$. Thus, $U B_{\alpha}^{0}$ dominates $U B_{\beta}^{0} . U B_{\gamma}^{0}-U B_{a}^{0}=\pi_{c}\left(\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \leq 0$. Thus, $U B_{\gamma}^{0}$ dominates $U B_{a}^{0}$. Therefore, the upper bound for
$\Delta(0)=U B_{\gamma}^{0}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}+p_{0 \mid 1} y^{u}+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) U^{0, n t}$.
Finally, the bounds for $E[\Delta(z)]$ are obtained by directly plugging the corresponding terms into the equation $\operatorname{Pr}(Z=1) \Delta(1)+\operatorname{Pr}(Z=0) \Delta(0)$.

Bounds on ATT. Under A4 and A6.3', $p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \leq \Gamma(0) \leq p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)$. The lower bounds on $\Gamma(1)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l b_{\alpha}^{1}= \pi_{a t}\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right) \\
& l b_{\beta}^{1}= \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
&-\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)-\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right) \\
& l b_{\gamma}^{1}= p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-\pi_{a t} \bar{Y}^{10}-\pi_{c} \bar{Y}^{10} \\
& l b_{\alpha}^{1}-l b_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{a t}\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right) \leq 0 . l b_{\beta}^{1}-l b_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{c}\left(\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \leq 0 . \text { Thus, } \\
& l b^{1}=l b_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 1}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right) . \text { The upper bounds on } \Gamma(1) \text { are: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u b_{\alpha}^{1}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-y^{l}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-L^{1, a t}\right) \\
u b_{\beta}^{1}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right)-\pi_{c}\left(L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \\
u b_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-\pi_{a t} y^{l}-\pi_{c} L^{1, a t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$u b_{\alpha}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{10}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right) \geq 0 . u b_{\beta}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{n t}\left(U^{0, n t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \geq 0$. Thus, $u b^{1}=u b_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{1 \mid 0} y^{l}-\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-p_{1 \mid 0}\right) L^{1, a t}$. According to $A T T=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} \Gamma(1)+\frac{w_{0}}{r_{1}} \Gamma(0)$, we have $l b=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} l b_{\gamma}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)$ and $u b=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\gamma}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{10}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)$. Q.D.E

### 5.4.3 Proof of Proposition 4,

Bounds for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ : A5.2 implies $E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}$, and $E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq U^{0, n t}$; and A6.2' implies $E[Y(0) \mid n t] \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Thus, $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ : A5.2 implies $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$, and $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq L^{1, a t}$; and A6.2' implies $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$. Thus, $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{1, a t}\right\} \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ : A6.2' and the equation $\pi_{n t} E[Y(0) \mid n t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(0) \mid c]=p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}$ yield $E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq \bar{Y}^{00}$. Regarding a lower bound, the trimming procedure implies $E[Y(0) \mid c] \geq L^{0, c}$. A6.2' implies $E[Y(0) \mid c] \geq E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$. Finally, A5 implies $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$.
Thus, we obtain $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\} \leq E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{00}, U^{1, c}\right\}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ : A6.2' and the equation $\pi_{a t} E[Y(1) \mid a t]+\pi_{c} E[Y(1) \mid c]=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}$ yield $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq \bar{Y}^{11}$. Regarding an upper bound, the trimming procedure implies $E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq U^{1, c}$. A6.2' implies $E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}$. Finally, A5 implies $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E\left[Y(0) \mid c c\right.$. Thus, we obtain $\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right\} \leq E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}$.
Bounds for $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$ : A5.2 implies $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$. From above, the lower bound for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ equals $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}$. A6.1' implies $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(1) \mid n t]=\bar{Y}^{10}$. A5.1 implies $E[Y(1) \mid c] \geq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$. From above, the upper bound for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ equals
$\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}$. Note that A6.1' implies $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{1, a t}\right\}$. Therefore, $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\} \leq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}$.
Bounds for $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$ : A5.2 implies $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(1) \mid c]$. From above, the upper bound for $E[Y(1) \mid c]$ equals $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}$. A6.1' implies $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01}$. A5.1 implies $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c]$. From above, the lower bound for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ equals $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}$. Note that A6.1' implies $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}$. Therefore, $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\} \leq E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}, U^{1, c}\right\}$.
Bounds for LNATE Ent , for $z=0,1$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{n t}^{z}=\bar{Y}^{10}-E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ we have:
$\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\} \leq L N A T E_{n t}^{z} \leq \bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}$, for $z=0,1$.
Bounds for LNATE $E_{a t}^{z}$, for $z=0$, 1: From (23), LNATE $E_{a t}^{z}=E[Y(1) \mid a t]-\bar{Y}^{01}$. Using the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ we have:
$\max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \leq L N A T E_{a t}^{z} \leq \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}$, for $z=0,1$.
Bounds for $L N A T E_{c}^{0}$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{c}^{0}=E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c]$. A5.2 directly implies $L N A T E_{c}^{0} \geq 0$. We have derived
$\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\} \leq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}$ and
$\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\} \leq E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{00}, U^{1, c}\right\}$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components of LNATE ${ }_{c}^{0}$ we obtain six additional potential lower bounds: $\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}$, $\bar{Y}^{01}-U^{1, c}, L^{0, c}-\bar{Y}^{00}, L^{0, c}-U^{1, c}, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}$ and $L^{1, a t}-U^{1, c}$. Note that: $\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00} \leq 0$, $\bar{Y}^{01}-U^{1, c} \leq 0, L^{0, c}-\bar{Y}^{00} \leq 0, L^{0, c}-U^{1, c} \leq 0$, and $L^{1, a t}-U^{1, c} \leq 0$. Hence, $L N A T E E_{c}^{0} \geq \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$. We have the upper bound $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}$. Thus, $\max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \leq L N A T E_{c}^{0} \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}$.
Bounds for LNATE ${ }_{c}^{1}$ : From (23), LNATE $E_{c}^{1}=E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$. A5.2 directly implies $L N A T E_{c}^{1} \geq 0$. We have derived $\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right\} \leq E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}$ and $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\} \leq E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}, U^{1, c}\right\}$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components of $L N A T E_{c}^{1}$ we obtain six additional potential lower bounds: $L^{0, c}-\bar{Y}^{10}, L^{0, c}-U^{0, n t}, L^{0, c}-U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{11}-U^{0, n t}$, and $\bar{Y}^{11}-U^{1, c}$. Note that: $L^{0, c}-\bar{Y}^{10} \leq 0, L^{0, c}-U^{0, n t} \leq 0, L^{0, c}-U^{1, c} \leq 0, \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10} \leq 0$, and $\bar{Y}^{11}-U^{1, c} \leq 0$. Hence, $L N A T E E_{c}^{1} \geq \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-U^{0, n t}\right\}$. We have the upper bound $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}$. Thus, $\max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-U^{0, n t}\right\} \leq L N A T E E_{c}^{1} \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}$.
Bounds for LMATE $E_{c}^{1}$ : LMATE $E_{c}^{1}=E[Y(1) \mid c]-E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$. A5.1 directly implies $L M A T E E_{c}^{1} \geq 0$. We have derived that $\max \left\{L^{0, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}\right\} \leq E[Y(1) \mid c] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}$ and $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\} \leq E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components of $L M A T E_{c}^{1}$ we obtain four additional potential lower bounds: $L^{0, c}-\bar{Y}^{10}, \bar{Y}^{11}-U^{1, c}, \bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{10}$, and $L^{0, c}-U^{1, c}$. Each of these four expressions is less than or equal to zero. We have the upper bound $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\}$. Thus, $0 \leq L M A T E E_{c}^{1} \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\}$.

Bounds for $L M A T E_{c}^{0}: L M A T E_{c}^{0}=E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]-E[Y(0) \mid c]$. A5.1 directly implies $L M A T E_{c}^{0} \geq 0$. We have drived $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\} \leq E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}, U^{1, c}\right\}$ and $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\} \leq E[Y(0) \mid c] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{00}, U^{1, c}\right\}$. Using the bounds previously obtained for the components of $L M A T E_{c}^{0}$ we obtain four additional potential lower bounds: $\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{00}, L^{0, c}-\bar{Y}^{00}, \bar{Y}^{01}-U^{1, c}$, and $L^{0, c}-U^{1, c}$. Each of these four expressions is less than or equal to zero. We have the upper bound $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}$. Thus, $0 \leq L M A T E_{c}^{0} \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]$ : A5.3 and A6.3' imply $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \geq E[Y(1) \mid n t] \geq E[Y(1) \mid c]$, so $\bar{Y}^{10} \leq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t] \leq y^{u}$. And thus $E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t]$ in (16) and (17) has the following bounds $0 \leq E[Y(1,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(1) \mid n t] \leq y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]$ : A5.3 and A6.3' imply that $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right] \leq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]$, and $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. Combining with the bounds derived for $E\left[Y\left(0, D_{1}\right) \mid c\right]$ and $E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ yields $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t] \leq y^{u}$. Since $\bar{Y}^{00} \leq E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}$, we have $E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ in (19) and (20) has the following bounds $0 \leq E[Y(0,1) \mid n t]-E[Y(0) \mid n t] \leq y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{00}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$ : A5.3 and A6.3' imply that $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$, and $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right] \geq E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$. Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E\left[Y\left(1, D_{0}\right) \mid c\right]$ and $E[Y(1) \mid a t]$ yields $y^{l} \leq E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$. Since $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{1, a t}\right\} \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}$, we have $E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t]$ in (16) and (17) has the following bounds $0 \leq E[Y(1) \mid a t]-E[Y(1,0) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{11}-y^{l}$.
Bounds for $E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$ : A5.3 and A6.3' imply $E[Y(0) \mid c] \geq E[Y(0) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$.
Combining with the bounds previously derived for $E[Y(0) \mid c]$ yields $y^{l} \leq E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{01}$.
And thus $E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t]$ in (19) and (20) has the following bounds $0 \leq E[Y(0) \mid a t]-E[Y(0,0) \mid a t] \leq \bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}$.

Bounds on $\Delta(z)$. We now use equations (16) through (18) to derive the lower bounds for $\Delta(1)$. The corresponding three potential lower bounds are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B_{\alpha}^{1}= & 0 \\
L B_{\beta}^{1}= & E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-\pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right) \\
& -\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)-\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}\right) \\
L B_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-\pi_{a t} \bar{Y}^{11}+\pi_{n t} \bar{Y}^{10}-\pi_{c} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

After some algebra, we have $L B_{\beta}^{1}=\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}+\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \leq 0$. Thus, $L B_{\beta}^{1} \leq L B_{\alpha}^{1} . L B_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}\right) \leq 0$. Hence, $L B_{\gamma}^{1} \leq L B_{\alpha}^{1}$. Therefore, the lower bound for $\Delta(1)=L B_{\alpha}^{1}=0$.

Similarly, we use equations (19) through (21) to derive potential lower bounds for $\Delta(0)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B_{\alpha}^{0}= & 0 \\
L B_{\beta}^{0}= & E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]-\pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right) \\
& -\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)-\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}\right) \\
L B_{\gamma}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-\pi_{a t} \bar{Y}^{01}+\pi_{n t} \bar{Y}^{00}+\pi_{c} \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

After comparison, we have $L B_{\beta}^{0}=L B_{\beta}^{1} \leq 0$. Thus, $L B_{\beta}^{0} \leq L B_{\alpha}^{0}$.
$L B_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{c}\left(\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)$. Hence, $L B_{\gamma}^{0} \leq L B_{\alpha}^{0}$. Therefore, the lower bound for $\Delta(0)=L B_{\alpha}^{0}=0$.
We now use equations (16) through (18) to derive potential upper bounds for $\Delta(1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{\alpha}^{1}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-y^{l}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\}\right) \\
U B_{\beta}^{1}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-y^{l}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{10}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -\pi_{a t} \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\pi_{n t} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}-\pi_{c} \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \\
U B_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-p_{0 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{10}-\pi_{a t} y^{l}+\pi_{n t} y^{u}-\pi_{c} \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

To compare, $U B_{\gamma}^{1}-U B_{\alpha}^{1}=\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}\right) \leq 0$. Thus, $U B_{\gamma}^{1}$ dominates $U B_{\alpha}^{1}$. $U B_{\beta}^{1}-U B_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+$ $\pi_{c}\left(\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}\right)$. Because $\bar{Y}^{11}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \geq 0$, $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00} \geq 0$, and $\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \leq 0$, $U B^{1}=\min \left\{U B_{\beta}^{1}, U B_{\gamma}^{1}\right\}$.
We now use equations (19) through (21) to derive potential upper bounds for $\Delta(0)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
U B_{\alpha}^{0}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}\right) \\
U B_{\beta}^{0}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)+\pi_{n t}\left(y^{u}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -\pi_{a t} \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{01}\right\}-\pi_{n t} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}-\pi_{c} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{11}-U^{0, n t}\right\} \\
U B_{\gamma}^{0}= & p_{1 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{01}-p_{0 \mid 0} \bar{Y}^{00}-\pi_{a t} y^{l}+\pi_{n t} y^{u}+\pi_{c} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}, U^{1, c}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $U B_{\gamma}^{0}-U B_{\alpha}^{0}=\pi_{c}\left(\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \leq 0$. Thus, $U B_{\gamma}^{0} \leq U B_{\alpha}^{0}$. $U B_{\beta}^{0}-U B_{\gamma}^{0}=p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\}\right)+p_{0 \mid 1}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\left(p_{1 \mid 1}-\right.$ $\left.p_{1 \mid 0}\right)\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}, U^{1, c}\right\}\right)$. Because $\bar{Y}^{11}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{01}\right\} \geq 0$, $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00} \geq 0$, and $\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{11}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}, U^{1, c}\right\} \leq 0$, $U B^{0}=\min \left\{U B_{\beta}^{0}, U B_{\gamma}^{0}\right\}$.
Finally, the bounds for $E[\Delta(z)]$ are obtained by directly plugging the corresponding terms into the equation $\operatorname{Pr}(Z=1) \Delta(1)+\operatorname{Pr}(Z=0) \Delta(0)$.

Bounds on $A T T$. Under the same set of the assumptions, $0 \leq \Gamma(0) \leq p_{1 \mid 0}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)$. The lower bounds on $\Gamma(1)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
l b_{\alpha}^{1}= & 0 \\
l b_{\beta}^{1}= & \pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0] \\
& -\pi_{n t}\left(\bar{Y}^{10}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)-\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}\right) \\
l b_{\gamma}^{1}= & p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-\pi_{a t} \bar{Y}^{11}-\pi_{c} \min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

After arrangement, $l b_{\beta}^{1}=\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}+\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right) \leq 0$.
$l b_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{c}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}\right) \leq 0$. Thus, $l b^{1}=l b_{\alpha}^{1}=0$. The upper bounds on $\Gamma(1)$ are:
$u b_{\alpha}^{1}=\pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{11}-y^{l}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\}\right)$
$u b_{\beta}^{1}=\pi_{a t}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)+E[Y \mid Z=1]-E[Y \mid Z=0]$ $-\pi_{n t} \max \left\{0, \bar{Y}^{10}-U^{0, n t}\right\}-\pi_{c} \max \left\{0, L^{1, a t}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right\}$
$u b_{\gamma}^{1}=p_{1 \mid 1} \bar{Y}^{11}-\pi_{a t} y^{l}-\pi_{c} \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\}$
$u b_{\alpha}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{c}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{1, c}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{11}\right) \geq 0$.
$u b_{\beta}^{1}-u b_{\gamma}^{1}=\pi_{n t}\left(\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00}\right)+\pi_{c}\left(\max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\}\right)$.
$\min \left\{\bar{Y}^{10}, U^{0, n t}\right\}-\bar{Y}^{00} \geq 0, \max \left\{\bar{Y}^{01}, L^{0, c}, L^{1, a t}\right\}-\max \left\{L^{1, a t}, \bar{Y}^{00}\right\} \leq 0$, so
$u b^{1}=\min \left\{u b_{\beta}^{1}, u b_{\gamma}^{1}\right\}$. After rearrangement, $u b_{a}=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\beta}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)$ and $u b_{b}=\frac{w_{1}}{r_{1}} u b_{\gamma}^{1}+\frac{w_{0} p_{1 \mid 0}}{r_{1}}\left(\bar{Y}^{01}-y^{l}\right)$. Q.D.E.

### 5.5 GMM Moment Function

We write the moment functions for average baseline characteristics of all the strata based on the conditional expectation defined by $\{Z, D\}$. Let $\bar{x}_{k}$ denote the expectation of a scalar baseline variable for a certain stratum $k$. The moment function for this variable is defined as:

$$
g\left(\left\{\bar{x}_{k}\right\}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\left(x-\bar{x}_{a t}\right)(1-Z) D \\
\left(x-\bar{x}_{n t}\right) Z(1-D) \\
\left(x-\bar{x}_{c} \frac{\pi_{c}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}-\bar{x}_{a} \frac{\pi_{a t}}{p_{1 \mid 1}}\right) Z D \\
\left(x-\bar{x}_{c} \frac{\pi_{c}}{p_{0 \mid 0}}-\bar{x}_{n} \frac{\pi_{n t}}{p_{0 \mid 0}}\right)(1-Z)(1-D) \\
x-\sum_{k} \pi_{k} \bar{x}_{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\left\{\bar{x}_{k}\right\}=\left\{\bar{x}_{a t}, \bar{x}_{n t}, \bar{x}_{c}\right\}$. By Law of Iterated Expectation, $E\left[g\left(\left\{\bar{x}_{k}\right\}\right)\right]=0$ when evaluated at the true value of $\left\{\bar{x}_{k}\right\}$.
Alternatively, we could also write the moment function for the proportions of all the strata and then estimate the model together with the average baseline characteristics simultaneously by GMM. However, such GMM estimators do not behave well in our data. Thus, in our application, we first identify the proportions of all the strata, and then estimate all the average baseline characteristics given the identified proportions. As seen in $g\left(\left\{\bar{x}_{k}\right\}\right)$, for each
variable, we have 5 equations ( 4 derived from the conditional expectations defined by $\{Z, D\}$ plus one from the expectation for the entire sample) to identify 3 means, i.e., $\left\{\bar{x}_{k}\right\}$. Since the standard errors obtained from this GMM model do not take into account the fact that the proportions of the strata are also estimated, we employ a 500 -repetition bootstrap to calculate the standard errors of the estimated average baseline characteristics.


[^0]:    ${ }^{17}$ For brevity, in what follows we omit explicitly specifying when some quantities can be greater or lower than others unless we believe it is necessary. Hence, when min (or max) operators are present, it implies that none of the terms inside them is always lower (greater) than the other(s).
    ${ }^{18}$ The following equalities are helpful for the rest of the proofs. For scalars $a, b, c$ and $d$ we have: (i) $a-$ $\max \{c, d\}=\min \{a-c, a-d\}$; (ii) $a-\min \{c, d\}=\max \{a-c, a-d\}$; (iii) $\max \{a, b\}-c=\max \{a-c, b-c\}$; (iv) $\min \{a, b\}-c=\min \{a-c, b-c\} ;(\mathrm{v}) \max \{a, b\}-\min \{c, d\}=\max \{a-c, a-d, b-c, b-d\} ;(\mathrm{vi}) \min \{a, b\}-$ $\max \{c, d\}=\min \{a-c, a-d, b-c, b-d\}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{19}$ For instance, combining A6.2 and 5.2 yields $E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid c] \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t]$, which implies $E[Y(0) \mid a t]=\bar{Y}^{01} \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t]$ and $U^{1, a t} \geq E[Y(1) \mid a t] \geq E[Y(0) \mid n t]$. However, we have that $\bar{Y}^{01} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$ and $U^{1, a t} \geq Y^{11} \geq \bar{Y}^{00}$.

